READINGTON TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE READINGTON TOWNSHIP, HUNTERDON COUNTY, NEW JERSEY

> HOLLAND BROOK SCHOOL READINGTON TOWNSHIP, NEW JERSEY

> > FEBRUARY 21, 2006 6:30 P.M.

IN THE MATTER OF

READINGTON TOWNSHIP PUBLIC HEARING COMMITTEE MEETING

BEFORE:

THE READINGTON TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE

MAYOR GERARD SHAMEY

JULIA ALLEN

THOMAS AURIEMMA

FRANK GATTI

BEATRICE MUIR

VITA MEKOVETZ, Township Clerk

A P P E A R A N C E S:

CONNELL FOLEY, LLP 85 Livingston Avenue Roseland, New Jersey 07068 Attorneys for the Township Committee BY: JAMES RHATICAN, ESQ.

SHARON DRAGAN, ESQ. Attorney for the Township Committee

JACQUELINE KLAPP REPORTING SERVICES Certified Shorthand Reporters 59 Old Croton Road Flemington, New Jersey 08822 (908) 782-0874

1	MAYOR SHAMEY: Good evening, ladies
2	and gentlemen, I'm sorry for the delay. I
3	would like to welcome all of you to the
4	February 21, 2006, meeting of the Readington
5	Township Committee. I would like to begin by
6	announcing all laws governing the Open Public
7	Meetings Act have been met, and this meeting
8	has been duly advertised.
9	Let's salute the flag.
10	(Whereupon, Mayor Shamey led those
11	present in the salute to the flag.
12	MAYOR SHAMEY: This is a meeting of
13	the Readington Township Committee, we have
14	some things to take care of before we get to
15	the public hearings. We have just come out of
16	executive session, and we have a couple of
17	bits of business to take care of. The first
18	item on the executive agenda today was
19	personnel, we have a letter from our
20	Recreation Director requesting that the
21	Committee hire Lisa Hellings as the yoga
22	instructor at \$30 an hour.
23	MS. ALLEN: I will make that motion.
24	MS. MUIR: I will second that.

(On roll call, all members voted in

3

1 the affirmative.) 2 MAYOR SHAMEY: The contract negotiations for the three professional 3 services contracts that come under contract 4 negotiations. Is there a motion to approve 5 the professional services contract of our bond 6 7 counsel, McManimon & Scotland, LLC? 8 MS. MUIR: So moved. 9 MR. GATTI: Second. (On roll call, all members voted in 10 11 the affirmative.) 12 MAYOR SHAMEY: Next, the professional 13 services contract for Martin Allen, Esq. as 14 our tax attorney. Is there a motion to 15 approve Mr. Allen's professional services 16 contract? 17 MS. ALLEN: So moved. 18 MR. GATTI: Second. 19 (On roll call, all members voted in 20 the affirmative.) 21 MAYOR SHAMEY: And lastly, is the 22 professional services contract for our public 23 defender, Patrick Clare, Esq. Is there a

24	motion to approve Mr.	Clare's professional
25	services contract?	

1 MS. ALLEN: So moved. 2 MR. AURIEMMA: Second. 3 (On roll call, all members voted in the affirmative.) 4 5 MAYOR SHAMEY: Okay. Let me back up a 6 step. We have the consent agenda, all items listed with an asterisk are considered to be 7 8 routine by the Township Committee and will be 9 covered by one motion. If there is anything 10 that a member or anyone else wishes to bring 11 to our attention, in that case, it will be 12 removed from the general order of business and 13 from its regular sequence on the agenda. 14 These are marked with an asterisk. Any 15 comments or statements from the Committee on 16 the consent agenda? This also, by the way, is 17 for approval of the minutes of January 17, 2006, and January 20, 2006. 18 19 Is there a motion on the consent 20 agenda and approval of minutes for those 21 meetings? 22 MR. AURIEMMA: Motion to approve the

5

23	consent agenda.
24	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a second?
25	MR. GATTI: Second.

1 (On roll call, all members voted in 2 the affirmative.) 3 MAYOR SHAMEY: Now, we will go quickly before we get to the public hearing that we 4 5 are going to skip over correspondence, there 6 are three items of correspondence which are on 7 your agenda, copies of them are up front. 8 Does any member of the Committee have 9 any comment or question with regard to any of 10 the three items under consideration? Okay. 11 Moving on to old business, there is 12 none. 13 Do we want to take care of this piece of new business or take care of it at the end 14 15 when we have the three public hearings? 16 MR. AURIEMMA: Let's do it at the end. 17 MAYOR SHAMEY: The right side says now 18 and the left side says later. I will break 19 the tie and suggest that we dispose of this

item now, because it is related to the Triple

21	D, which was covered in executive.
22	Do you want to speak to this, Julia?
23	MS. ALLEN: The first item of new
24	business is an ordinance authorizing the
25	conveyance of the farmland preservation or

1	agricultural development rights, easement to
2	the Hunterdon County Farmland Preservation
3	Program. Triple D, LLC, is the 38-acre farm
4	that we just spoke about, and I would make a
5	motion to pass the ordinance authorizing the
6	conveyance of the development rights to
7	Hunterdon County.
8	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a second?
0	MATOR SHAMEL. IS CHELE & SECOND:
9	MR. AURIEMMA: Second.
10	MAYOR SHAMEY: Roll call.
11	(On roll call, all members voted in
12	the affirmative.)
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: The second meeting in
14	March, is that okay?
15	MS. ALLEN: Yes.
16	MAYOR SHAMEY: Public hearing on that
17	will be March 20th. Okay.
18	We have four public hearings, and we
19	will get to the one that most, I assume, most

20	everybody is here for. This is the public
21	hearing on Ordinance No. 04-2006. Is there a
22	motion to close the regular meeting and open
23	the public hearing on this ordinance?
24	MR. AURIEMMA: Moved.
25	MR. GATTI: Second.

```
1
                        MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor, say aye.
2
                        (On roll call, all members voted in
3
                the affirmative.)
```

4	MAYOR SHAMEY: By way of introduction,
5	ladies and gentlemen, this is the public
6	hearing on Ordinance No. 04-2006, which is an
7	ordinance that is entitled "Bond Ordinance
8	Providing for Acquisition of Airport and Land
9	or Interest in Land for Preservation, Block
10	48, Lot 23; Block 55, Lot 33; Block 56, Lots
11	1, 3, 6 and 8; Block 39, Lot 24; and Block 67,
12	Lot 2, owner: Solberg Aviation/Hromoho), in
13	and by the Township of Readington, in the
14	County of Hunterdon, New Jersey, Appropriating
15	\$22 Million therefor, and Authorizing the
16	Issuance of \$21,700,000 Bonds or Notes of the
17	Township to Finance Part of the Cost Thereof."
18	This ordinance was introduced at this

19	Committee's meeting of February 6, 2006, at
20	which time the Township Committee also adopted
21	a resolution which authorized the law firm of
22	Connell, Foley, LLC, to undertake certain
23	investigations of the subject property, that
24	is the property that has just been enumerated,
25	Solberg Airport and Hromoho Properties.

1	The purpose of the investigation is to
2	conduct preliminary assessments including
3	investigations and surveys and, more
4	importantly, to obtain appraisals.
5	Both the bond ordiannce, as well as
6	the resolution I just referred to, are
7	necessary if we are to continue to have
8	negotiations with Solberg Aviation about the
9	future of the airport and about the open space
10	surrounding it, which are to be meaningful.
11	The appraisals are, of course, necessary to be
12	sure the Township has current and accurate
13	information with which to offer a fair amount
14	of compensation in our discussions with the
15	Solbergs and the bond ordinance places the
16	Committee in a position to make a contingency

9/22/20	)18
---------	-----

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
17	pre-cash offer to Solberg Aviation for the
18	open space that the Township is interested in
19	preserving and for certain development
20	restrictions on the airport property.
21	It should be noted clearly that
22	municipal acquisition of the airport is not
23	contemplated at this time. This is not an
24	eminent domain ordinance. This is a bond
25	ordinance. It is the preference of this

Committee that ownership of the airport remain 1 2 in the owners, that is Solberg Aviation. So I 3 cannot stress enough to you the fact that this 4 a public hearing on a bond ordinance. Just 5 give me one moment. 6 The bond ordinance can be analogized 7 to taking out a home equity line of credit on 8 your house. What it does is it puts the Township in a position to draw down on that 9 10 allotment of money. If the Committee is to 11 adopt this ordinance and pass this ordinance 12 this evening, we will not have spent a dime of 13 that \$22 million, it is a bond ordinance only. Again, it puts us in a position to have money 14 15 on the table to show the Solbergs and Solberg

16	Aviation that we are serious in our effort to
17	negotiate a resolution to our issues.
18	So what we are going to do this
19	evening is as follows: I am going to present
20	some background information to the audience,
21	just to get people caught up to date. For
22	those of you who were not present at the
23	January 17th informational meeting, it will
24	help bring you up to date as to recent events.
25	Mrs. Allen will present certain

1	information relevant to the various funding
2	opportunities that are available to the
3	Township, and funding sources. We will then
4	have Mr. Gatti, who is our finance liaison,
5	speak to anticipated tax impact, keeping in
6	mind that these are hypothetical scenarios
7	that we will be working with. They are not
8	numbers to which we can or wish to be bound.
9	They are examples based upon the hypothetical
10	home assessed at \$400,000, and they are also
11	numbers that have been arrived at just by
12	virtue of our experience and our Farmland and
13	Open Space Act programs. So again, there are
14	numbers that we can work with, and they are

15	good examples to use. Again, perhaps not
16	exact.
17	Following Mr. Gatti's presentation on
18	tax impact, and he will also speak to the
19	municipal debt, and all this will move along
20	as quickly as possible, because we have been
21	through much of this before.
22	There have been numerous questions
23	raised, both by residents and in the press,
24	with regards to the various powers and
25	authorities of the various levels of

1 government that are involved in any situation 2 such as this, and that is us, the local 3 government, the State Government and the Federal Government. 4 5 Numerous questions have been asked. Relevant, pointed questions that I am going to 6 7 attempt to address and answer to the best of my abilities. 8 9 We will then have a public comment 10 portion following those presentations, and I 11 will speak more to that procedure that we will

be following for that when we start the public

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

12

9/22/	20	1	8
-------	----	---	---

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
13	comment portion. So with that said, just a
14	couple of other things: We intend to
15	summarize our goals. We will again talk about
16	debt with the funding operations and funding
17	sources and tax impacts. I note that Mr.
18	Solberg was in the hallway, but I do not know
19	if I see him in this room. If any
20	representative of Solberg Aviation wishes to
21	present any information, they will be given
22	the opportunity to do so this evening. They
23	are invited to do so, as they have always been
24	invited to do so. Then again, we will open it
25	up to the public for comments.

1	Now, if you will give us a moment, we
2	are just going to shift the microphones to
3	that table and ask Jay Rhatican to vacate, if
4	he would.
5	Thank you for your patience. We are
6	trying to figure out the best way to do this
7	logistically, and this seems to make sense,
8	because we will be referring to certain
9	slides, and we have other material that we can
10	work off of. So I will wait for somebody to
11	tell me that that things is up and we can

12	begin.
13	Mr. Gatti, as soon as you give me the
14	green light, we will get started.
15	We will begin with slide one. I am
16	going to move through this as quickly as
17	possible, ladies and gentlemen, because I know
18	there are a lot of you that want to comment.
19	But there is a lot of additional material that
20	I think needs to be addressed to the public,
21	and before we start, is there any way that we
22	can leave some type of light on back here so
23	maybe I can read?
24	Just to review. The Township has had
25	longstanding goals of preservation of Solberg

1	Airport. Going back to the Township Master
2	Plan in the mid 1990s, and earlier than that,
3	this site has been identified by both the
4	local government, by Hunterdon County and by
5	the State of New Jersey as a high priority
6	preservation area. It is always and continues
7	to be a goal of the Township to maintain the
8	airport and see the airport maintained in its
9	current configuration, and when we talk about
10	configuration, we necessarily talk about

11	runway length. Finally, consistent with
12	longstanding policies of the Township, it has
13	always been the goal of this Township for
14	years, if not decades, for preservation of
15	this tract and preservation of the 650 acres
16	of open space surrounding the airport.
17	Now, back in August of 2005, the bond
18	ordinance which was similar, if not the same
19	as the ordinance that is here for considera-
20	tion this evening, was introduced and then
21	withdrawn by this Committee at its August 22,
22	2005, meeting. This taking place following an
23	agreement being reached with Solberg Aviation
24	to conduct good faith negotiations in an
25	attempt to resolve this longstanding issue

1	that has been here in our township. Six
2	negotiation sessions took place over a five-
3	month period. Those were attended by
4	Committeewoman Allen, then Mayor Gatti, our
5	counsel, Jay Rhatigan, the three Solbergs and
6	their lawyer from Morristown, he is an
7	attorney, Lawrence Berger. I believe that is
8	it.

9	On January 2, 2006, at the final
10	negotiation session, the negotiations were
11	effectively terminated. It was reported back
12	to this Committee by Mr. Gatti and by Mrs.
13	Allen that the Township's insistence on
14	maintenance of this runway length that is
15	currently 3,735 feet, was of paramount
16	importance to this Township, and this Township
17	was not interested in seeing the runway
18	lengthened at all beyond that length. They
19	were told at that point that, essentially, we
20	were wasting our time. This is through their
21	counsel.
22	The parties got up, they shook hands
23	and walked out.
24	We then conducted a public information
25	hearing or meeting, rather, in this room on

1	January 17th, and it was at that point that
2	rather detailed information was presented to
3	the public with respect to the negotiations,
4	with respect to some general aviation
5	materials and information. Environmental
6	experts spoke, we had a noise expert as well,
7	and much, if not all, of this information is

8	posted on the Township website and I would
9	urge our residents to please take the time, if
10	you can, and review this information. It may
11	generate questions, comments, criticisms and
12	what have you. But it has all been posted.
13	Again, on February 6th, the Township
14	Committee authorized an appraisal to be done
15	with respect to the property.
16	Slide three. Where are negotiations
17	today? As reported at the January 17th
18	meeting, the Township's position is as
19	follows: The Township is offering Solberg
20	Aviation \$22 million, and the Solbergs would
21	retain the airport as it exists today. It
22	would be preserved. The existing runways
23	would not be lengthened; however, there is
24	only 3,000 feet paved right now, and there is
25	a remaining 735 feet that could be paved.

16

1 That is something that there would be no 2 objection to. So it keeps the existing 3 runways not to be lengthened, that is, from 4 their licensed length. The hangar space, in a 5 nut shell and, as reported at the January 17th 6 meeting, Solberg Aviation's initial demand was

7	for a 5,600-foot runway, the same being told
8	to us as being non-starter or anything less
9	than that, and then one million square feet of
10	office and hangar space is being requested.
11	In the course of the negotiations, the runway
12	length demand was slid back a bit by Solberg
13	Aviation to 5,000 feet, and the requested
14	hangar and office space was reduced to 500,000
15	square feet.
16	Just by point of comparison,
17	Morristown Airport, if any of you are familiar
18	with it, has about 500,000 square feet of
19	Hangar and office space.
20	The Township would acquire and
21	preserve the 600 acres of open space. The
22	open space around the airport would be
23	permanently preserved, and the cost of up to
24	\$22 million would be reimbursed through State
25	open space grants. Mrs. Allen will speak

1	specifically as to the funding issues, so I
2	will not delve into those areas at all right
3	now. There has been some confusion, and a
4	little bit of misinformation, as to what is

9/22/20	18
---------	----

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
5	and what is not available, and we will speak
6	to that.
7	Both the airport and the site's
8	considerable natural resources would be
9	protected under this scenario.
10	Currently, as most recently expressed
11	in the private negotiation sessions that I
12	referred to, the Solbergs' position is the
13	following: That the airport be allowed to
14	expand, in the sense the runway would be paved
15	out to a 5,000 foot paved primary runway.
16	That is slightly longer than the requested
17	runway that was contained in the airport
18	Master Plan and the Airport Layout Plan of
19	1991, which called for a 4,900 foot runway.
20	For some reason, it has grown to 5,000 from
21	that. They would also like to pave out to
22	3,700 feet the cross-winds runway.
23	Now, there is a diagram here that has
24	been handed out before, and it gives the lay
25	of the land and configuration of the runways

with regard to the roads that are nearby, with
 regards to the location of the school and the
 middle school. So it is helpful to get your

4	hands on that so they can be put into
5	perspective. They are requesting 500,000
6	square feet of hangar and office space, and
7	they have proposed certain restrictions on
8	noise and nighttime operations, the specifics
9	of which I am unaware.
10	They also indicated that the future
11	expansion would be limited per the 1999
12	Airport Layout Plan, which again the runway
13	length exceeds that. So obviously, that would
14	have to be fleshed out a little bit more.
15	The remaining open space over to us
16	for preservation is those parcels not
17	necessary or essential to the expansion plans
18	of the airport. For those parcels which are
18 19	of the airport. For those parcels which are rather carved up and scattered throughout the
19	rather carved up and scattered throughout the
19 20	rather carved up and scattered throughout the overall tract, Solberg Aviation is asking \$36
19 20 21	rather carved up and scattered throughout the overall tract, Solberg Aviation is asking \$36 million.
19 20 21 22	rather carved up and scattered throughout the overall tract, Solberg Aviation is asking \$36 million. We would like to move forward with

the position of Solberg Aviation has come from two sources, one has been the positions that

1

2

19/177

3	have been enunciated by Solberg in the private
4	negotiation sessions which we have made known
5	to the public, and the other information that
6	has been presented to the public by Solberg
7	Aviation is that which has been reported in
8	the newspaper articles, editorials and letters
9	that have been sent out by Solberg Aviation.
10	The two are 100 percent inconsistent with one
11	another. We need to know the truth. It is
12	time for some honesty, and we need to know
13	exactly what the position of Solberg Aviation
14	is, and we need to hear it from one voice, not
15	three, one.
16	So it is time to get serious about
17	negotiations and, therefore, we have
18	commissioned the appraisals to be commenced
19	and, therefore, this bond ordinance. We will
20	put the money on the table for use in our
21	future negotiations, if they take place.
22	Now, on to slide six. Why \$22
23	million? Why bond for \$22 million? Well,
24	this is a not to exceed number. And again,
25	and analogizing it to taking out a home equity

9/22/2018	
-----------	--

2

3

8

### https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

line for	\$22	millior	n and	spending	10 or 15 d	or
whatever	, it	is the	same	concept.	It is a m	not
to excee	d am	ount. I	It has	s some bas	sis,	

4 recently, in our recent offer to the Solbergs
5 last summer of \$22 million for the 650 acres
6 of open space, but it is not the offer to
7 Solberg for the entire tract, including the

### airport.

9 Why buy the open space and not the 10 airport? Well, a couple of reasons. As 11 indicated earlier, it is the Solberg family's

preference as well as the preference of this
Committee, that ownership of the airport
remain in Solberg Aviation. Readington
Township can achieve its longstanding planning

16and policy goals, which stands for four17decades of coming to be in the state they are18in today in terms of our Master Plan and its19amendments, and all of that has gone on for

20four decades. Those goals could be21accomplished by preserving the 650 acres of22open space and purchasing the development23easement on the 76 acre airport.

24 At this point, we are going to move to 25 slide eight, I will turn the microphone over

21 1 to Committeewoman Julia Allen, who will speak 2 to open space funding options and the funds available, and she will attempt to answer, 3 4 hopefully, in advance, many of the questions 5 you may have about funding and also any of the 6 questions that have already been raised. So I 7 will turn it over to Mrs. Allen. 8 MS. ALLEN: Good evening. With 9 respect to open space funding options, the most heavily relied on funding option for 10 11 Readington Township has been and will continue 12 to be the State Green Acres Program. Under 13 the State Green Acres Program, there are 14 several different programs available to 15 preserve open land. One is the direct State 16 acquisition. This is a program in which the 17 State buys the property, it is retained by the 18 State, and 100 percent of the value is paid 19 for by the State. 20 Just to summarize, the acquisitions 21 are made by the State with 100 percent State 22 funds. The money is still readily available 23 for projects of statewide significance. The 24 land is purchased and retained by the State. 25 The land would be managed by the State as a

1 wild life management area; the land can be 2 prepurchased by the Township, and this has 3 been done in five different instances already in Readington Township. Most recently, the 4 5 Fallone property on Rockefeller Mills Road, and the YEF property on Lamington River. 6 7 The State is more likely to be 8 interested in large contiguous tracts for this 9 program. Solberg Airport is designated as a 10 natural heritage priority site, making it a State priority, and Solberg Airport is one of 11 12 the best examples of grassland habitat of 13 threatened and endangered species, and as 14 such, has statewide priority for preservation. 15 The next, under Green Acres, is the 16 Municipal Grants Program, and this is a 50 17 percent share. The grants are available to 18 townships that have adopted open space plans 19 and have a dedicated open space tax and an 20 open space trust fund. Readington has both. 21 The grants are made at 50 percent of the land 22 value. Value as in all open space 23 preservation programs is determined by

24 appraisals. Readington has obtained grants25 for almost ten years under the Municipal

1	Grants Program. The land reserved as a result
2	of this funding would be owned by the Township
3	and available for the Township's recreational
4	purposes, and that would be both active and
5	passive.
6	The next is the New Jersey
7	Environmental Infrastructure Trust Program.
8	This is not a grant program, it is a loan
9	program with low interest. The money comes
10	from Federal sources, the Federal Clean Water
11	Act, and the way the low interest loan works,
12	75 percent of the value is loaned interest
13	free and 25 percent of the value is loaned at
14	market rate interest. The Township would own
15	the preserved land, but the land would have a
16	conservation easement, which allows absolutely
17	no disturbance, and possibly some massive
18	recreation opportunities.
19	The next and last possibility that we
20	are anticipating, but there are other
21	possibilities that would be available to us if
22	we needed them, but the last is the County
23	Open Space Trust Fund, and that, generally,
24	traditionally, is paying 20 percent on the
25	cost share of qualifying projects. The

24/177

1	municipality can apply to the County for
2	financing a project in the Open Space Trust
3	Fund and they generally approve one that
4	represents the County Master Plan, and Solberg
5	property is found on the County Open Space
6	Plan.
7	Next slide.
8	One question that appears to be asked
9	fairly often is, are open space funds
10	available? The answer to this question is
11	predominantly answered by explaining the
12	provision of the Garden State Preservation
13	Trust Act. This is an Act that was passed in
14	1998, after a November 1998 referendum in the
15	State of New Jersey, because of a favorable
16	vote. Money was constitutionally dedicated
17	for open space purposes, a total of \$2 million
18	over a ten year period from 1999 to 2009, of
19	which \$1.7 million has already been
20	appropriated in the fiscal years 2000 to 2006.
21	There is still some money available for new
22	projects for fiscal years 2007 to 2009. This
23	money will be available for new projects, and

this is an iron clad procedure that sets up

this money and dedicates it for open space, as

25

I said before. It was a constitutional 1 2 amendment that set up the trust fund. Undoing that trust fund would also take a 3 constitutional amendment, which would include 4 a vote of all of the citizens of the State of 5 6 New Jersey. Needless to say, nobody anticipates 7 8 this happening. No governor or legislature 9 can touch this money and use it for any other 10 purpose without going back to the voters of the State of New Jersey. So we are confident 11 12 that this money will be available to us, and 13 the money that has already been allocated, 14 which in Readington's case is considerable, 15 will be forthcoming. 16 In the Garden State Preservation Trust 17 Act funds, both the Green Acres Program and the Farmland Preservation Program, Green Acres 18 19 uses 60 percent of the funding and farmland 20 preservation is approximately 40 percent. 21 The next source of funding is the 22 Federal Clean Water Act, and this is the 23 source of the low interest loan from the New

26/177

24	Jersey	Enviro	onmer	ntal Infr	astru	cture	Trust	that
25	is set	aside	for	projects	that	prote	ect wat	ter

1	quality. This money is plentiful for
2	townships that follow a very rigorous grant
3	application procedure.
4	The third source of money that we
5	talked about was the County Open Space Trust
6	Fund, and the citizens of Hunterdon County
7	recently voted on a three cents per \$100 of
8	assessed valuation to be collected annually
9	from all of the townships in Hunterdon County
10	over the next five years, beginning in 2006.
11	This is continuing a trust fund that was set
12	up in the year 2000 and continued through
13	2005. Readington, last year, in 2005,
14	contributed almost a million dollars or
15	\$971,000 to this fund, and Readington is
16	entitled to reimbursement on projects of its
17	choice, and projects of importance to the
18	County. This project, as I mentioned, is on
19	the County Open Space Fund, so that is about
20	it.
21	MAYOR SHAMEY: I want to turn it over

22	to Committeeman Frank Gatti, who will speak to
23	the tax impact on the average homeowner.
24	Do you need help down there?
25	MR. GATTI: No, I am set.

27

1	I just want to state that as a
2	township, we can bond up to three and a half
3	percent of our equalized value of property,
4	which currently stands at approximately \$3
5	million. So based on today's equalized value
6	of property in Readington Township, this
7	Township can bond up to \$103 million, as it
8	stands right now. Our debt is approximately
9	\$47 million. Of this amount, the Township is
10	due approximately \$17 million in reimburse-
11	ments from farmland preservation and the Open
12	Space Fund, which Julia Allen just spoke
13	about.
14	Sixty-five to 70 percent of our debt
15	comprises land debt. If this bond passes
16	tonight, our debt will be approximately \$69
17	million, which represents 2.35 percent of the
18	equalized assessment basis that I previously
19	spoke to you about, which is well within the

three and a half percent limit.

21	I would like to point out that our
22	current bond rating here in the township by
23	Standard & Poor's is double A, which is one of
24	the highest ratings. But what is the impact
25	on the average homeowner? The theoretical

28

1	\$400,000 home, I believe the assessment in
2	Readington Township is 380,000. For the sake
3	of numbers, we are using \$400,000. Basically,
4	what it boils down to is that if we are able
5	to achieve getting these open space funds,
6	which we have been very successful in the
7	past, our long-term bonding would be \$60 per
8	year for this \$400,000 home. Again, that is
9	with the anticipated Green Acres grant and the
10	low interest loans that are available to the
11	New Jersey Environmental Infrastructure Trust.
12	I would like to point out at this time
13	that these numbers were calculated by our
14	chief financial officer, Tom Powers, who works
15	for us in the township. So the worst case
16	scenario is none of this will happen. We will
17	not get the open space. The funds are all not
18	going to come through.

19

Well, if that is the case, what the

20	Township would be able to do is the Township
21	will be able to still go ahead and do the
22	\$22 million bond. We can get notes for a
23	period of three years and, basically, for
24	those notes, we will have to pay the interest
25	only on those notes, and after the three-year

29 period, then you are required to bond. So for 1 2 the first three years, the impact of this \$400,000 home would be roughly \$55 a year. 3 After that time, when we do the bonds, we go 4 5 out and solicit to various financial institutions or bond council, and based on 6 7 today's rates, it would cost the average 8 homeowner or the average \$400,000 homeowner 9 about \$65 a year. Starting in the year four, 10 \$165 per year. Again, this is the worst case scenario. I want to make sure we are all on 11 12 the same page as to what the bond is. Even 13 though we are discussing the bond tonight, we 14 are, hopefully, going to vote on the bond 15 tonight. That doesn't mean tomorrow we wake 16 up and start paying the \$65 or \$55 a year. 17 What happens is that gives us the ability to

30/177

18	continue negotiations with the family, the
19	Solberg family and, hopefully, we will be able
20	to consummate the deal. At which time we will
21	give them a downpayment, create a contract
22	with a downpayment and then once, I guess, the
23	contract is signed, the \$22 million would be
24	given to the family, and the Township would
25	have to work on starting the funding. And as

30

1	previously mentioned, this Township has been
2	successful in the past with prepurchasing land
3	and then going out and finding money. We have
4	a fairly good feel for what commitments we
5	will get ahead of time, and that process has
6	already been started.
7	I would also like to point out at this
8	time that based on today's numbers, this
9	Township does have some additional ratables
10	that will be coming on in the future for this
11	Township. We have two senior developments
12	that are being planned, and in addition, we
13	have the Belle Mead property up by Route 78,
14	which could provide for approvals for about
15	600,000 square feet of office space. So that

will offset the numbers I have discussed.

17	That is it, thank you.
18	MAYOR SHAMEY: Thank you very much.
19	The next area we would like to address is, as
20	I indicated earlier, just the various levels
21	of authority and where we stand and all that
22	as local government. Because issues have been
23	raised in that regard, and you are asking
24	questions can this be forced on us and how
25	does this work. What authority does the local

1	government have, et cetera, et cetera. So I
2	will try to go through this as quickly as I
3	can.
4	First of all, by what authority does
5	the FAA have control over airports? Well,
6	there are certain statutes, Federal statutes
7	that are relevant to airports, and those are
8	the ones enumerated on that slide. I will
9	read them to you. The Airport and Airway
10	Improvement Act deals a lot with physical
11	characteristics, and states among one of its
12	policies that, "Any airport expansion is
13	funded through the Act to be undertaken to the
14	maximum extent feasible." Therefore, it is
15	based on efficiency and safety. The Airport

16	Noise and Capacity Act, which is known as
17	ANCA, established a national aviation noise
18	policy. So generally speaking, under these
19	Federal statutes that exist and have been
20	promulgated, numerous Federal regulations
21	which pre-empt local regulations of airports,
22	it comes back to the Interstate Commerce laws
23	of the United States Constitution. If an
24	airport owner accepts Federal funds, the
25	airport must comply with a host of these

1	regulations, including prohibition on
2	discrimination of types of aircraft. As I
3	said, the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of
4	1990, it manages the national noise policy,
5	and the FAA has interpreted this to mean that
6	local issues relating to noise must take a
7	back seat to national policy. And I will cite
8	a case on that shortly.
9	Again, if the airport owner accepts
10	FAA money, it comes with grant conditions in
11	the form of restrictions of what you can do in
12	terms of limitation on noise and operations.
13	I have already spoken about the Airport Noise

14	and Capacity Act.
15	The regulations under that Act are not
16	dependent upon Federal funding, but the
17	failure to comply with them renders an airport
18	operator unable to receive that Federal
19	funding. So the FAA is concerned, their main
20	focus in on operations and noise and the like.
21	On the State level, that would
22	probably take us to the next slide. There is
23	State legislation, this is slide 12, by what
24	authority does the State of New Jersey have
25	control over airports? We have the State

33

1	Aviation Act, which entrusts the supervision
2	of aeronautics to the Commissioner of
3	Transportation, and the New Jersey Airport
4	Safety Act of 1983 broadened the
5	Commissioner's power.
6	Now, on the State level, first of all,
7	much has been raised about what land use
8	control does the municipality have. Well, the
9	municipality already has diminished land use
10	control as a result of the State legislation,
11	which restricts the heights and the land use

of sensitive areas around the runways. But

13	there is still some site plan control on the
14	local level. Even if a local site plan
15	even a local site plan approval would be
16	restricted in the event of an FAA funding
17	being received, and the FAA, generally, takes
18	the position that local planning controls are
19	pre-empted by Federal law. Additionally, on
20	the State level, the New Jersey DOT
21	regulations bar an airport from having planes
22	use the airport as long as the planes are
23	physically capable to use the airport as
24	applied by the FAA regulations.
25	Just to talk a little bit about the

1	local level and bring it all together to the
2	best that I can first, one last thing on
3	State law, that requires that the State law
4	the State law requires that N.J. DOT approve
5	any airport expansion such as that proposed by
6	the Solbergs in the 1997 Master Plan. NJ DOT
7	is empowered to override any local decisions,
8	if to do so is in the best interest of the
9	State Aviation policies. Although land use is
10	not pre-empted by State law, the local
11	Planning Boards or Board of Adjustment would

12	retain site plan review and approval powers,
13	and although the NJ DOT must give some
14	consideration to those authorities, Board of
15	Adjustment, Planning Board, they need not
16	defer to their decisions. In other words, the
17	State has the final say, not the Federal
18	Government.
19	Now, a couple of other things about
20	local zoning: Although the underlying zoning
21	does not permit an airport use and would
22	otherwise require a variance for expansion of
23	a non-conforming use, that is due to the fact
24	that the airport is grandfathered in. It is
25	in a residential zone, it is a pre-existing

1	non-conforming use, so to expand as it stands
2	today, one would have to go to the Board of
3	Adjustment for a variance. This is New Jersey
4	law that does require host municipalities to
5	enable an ordinance to make it a conforming
6	use. Readington has never enacted such an
7	ordinance. That ordinance was litigated at
8	one point, and although upheld as to
9	constitutionality, this is the statute

9/22/2018

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg public hearing.html

		https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
1	.0	requiring us to rezone that I am talking
1	.1	about. The Court ruled that the case really
1	.2	was not what they call ripe for adjudication.
1	.3	They didn't come to a ruling on it, and the
1	. 4	reason they didn't come to a ruling on that
1	.5	statute is that the DOT had not yet
1	. 6	promulgated the regulations that that Act
1	.7	requires. So the process was not yet
1	. 8	complete. There is nothing to work to in
1	.9	terms of regulations that would implement that
2	20	statute. We have never adopted that
2	21	ordinance. We have never, although there was
2	22	discussion between the Township and the State
2	23	many years ago about adopting such an
2	24	ordinance, those discussions ended and we do
2	25	not right now it is a pre-existing non-

36

1	conforming use.
2	With an application to be made for
3	expansion and denied, of course, it would
4	invite litigation, at which time maybe that
5	statute would be litigated again.
6	How does that all tie together? How
7	likely is it that this would happen? How

would it all play itself out? That is the

9	real question here, I think.
10	Solberg Airport is a designated
11	reliever airport under a Federal it is
12	called the National Plan of Integrated Airport
13	Systems. This is a 2005-2009 report, and
14	Solberg Airport, Solberg Aviation both
15	requested and then received reliever airport
16	status. What that does is it allows the
17	airport it makes that airport eligible as a
18	sponsor to accessing grant funding for the
19	Airport Improvement Fund. All control over
20	what improvements are planned for an airport
21	are initiated by the airport sponsor. So I
22	want to be clear about that. It is not that
23	the FAA goes around the country looking for
24	airports to expand, the sponsor comes to them.
25	So the expansion plans are initiated

by the sponsor and approved and potentially
funded by the FAA, and that is without any
intervention or input by local or State
authority, which I will get to in a moment.
The process of expansion is laid out
in Federal regulations, and this is what took
place starting back in 1997 to 1999 and 2000.

8	The sponsor develops an airport Master Plan
9	which was done, which was then reviewed and
10	determined to be complying with FAA regs, and
11	then an Airport Layout Plan was drawn up based
12	on that document. The FAA gave Solberg
13	Aviation, back in 1999, conditional approval
14	of its Airport Improvement Plan and its
15	Airport Layout Plan. We need to be clear and
16	to remember that that has already been done,
17	and that was based upon a 4,890 foot main
18	runway. I was involved in that process as
19	well as many members of the Committee, and the
20	sponsor has to conduct an environmental
21	assessment based upon something called the FAA
22	Environmental Handbook, and the sponsor has to
23	come up with a finding of no significant
24	impact in a variety of areas. That would be
25	noise, air pollution, impact on historic

sites, water resources, et cetera. If any
 area does not meet the appropriate standard,
 then you must do a full environmental impact
 study. It is a higher level of scrutiny, but
 only as to those items that don't pass muster

39/177

6	the first time.
7	This process was started by Solberg
8	Aviation through a consultant hired by the
9	State of New Jersey called Clough Hargher, and
10	I participated in those proceedings, as did
11	Mrs. Allen and others on the Committee.
12	Before I speak to those a little bit further,
13	I just wanted to back up a step.
14	The Master Plan and Airport Layout
15	Plan of Solberg Aviation, again, they are
16	conditionally approved by the FAA and the DOT
17	of 1999. It should be noted that both of
18	these approvals were granted over strong local
19	objections, including written objections from
20	the Readington Township Committee and
21	Branchburg Township Committee, the Somerset
22	County Board of Freeholders, our State
23	Senators and Assembly people, over those
24	strong local objections, and statewide on the
25	part of our State representatives. Those were

39

1	ignored.	The	FAA	granted	cond	ditio	onal	
2	approval.							
3	נ	Froubl	ing	evidence	of	how	much	import

they give to the concerns of the community.

5	So that process took place, and I
6	participated in it, but when I use the word
7	"participate", I use it loosely and
8	facetiously. That is because when the process
9	gets to that point, and we have been there,
10	we, the local governing body, we, the
11	community of Readington Township, we cease
12	being participants and we are then spectators.
13	This was a self-fulfilling prophesy,
14	there was no doubt in anyone's mind what the
15	outcome of those proceedings was going to be.
16	The only thing that stopped them was the
17	Township had commenced its eminent domain
18	proceedings and the State went in and went
19	with the Solbergs.
20	In terms of public input of this
21	environmental assessment, it consisted of
22	going into a rather large room and you can sit
23	with somebody at a table, log in your
24	comments, your concerns and what have you.
25	There would be a table relative to noise,

relative to water quality or whatever. It
 wasn't this large participatory meeting where
 representatives of the State and a consulting

4	firm were asked questions directly and had to
5	answer them in front of others, it was kind of
6	a booth kind of setup.
7	In addition to that, we also conducted
8	a series of, I won't call them hearings, they
9	are more like meetings, and those were
10	attended by representatives of Readington,
11	Branchburg, Hunterdon and Somerset County
12	Chambers of Commerce, Solberg Aviation, and I
13	am trying to think of who else participated in
14	those hearings. What those meetings were, it
15	was not a give-and-take session, it was simply
16	reporting back to us what the findings were.
17	Again, it was non-participatory, it was just
18	that here is what we have, the process as I
19	stated publicly before, and I stated it
20	directly to the State hired consultants, the
21	process was insulting, at best.
22	The treatment of real estate values,
23	for example, in one of the reports they
24	issued, and again they were 80 to 90 percent
25	complete, the only thing left was a final

1

report and a finding, and we all had no doubt

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
2	that there was going to be a finding of
3	significant impact. But just as an example of
4	how ridiculous this was and how insulting this
5	was, in my view, the treatment as to the
6	proposed impact on the expansion of real
7	estate values was a scant paragraph on the
8	bottom of one page that talked about how a few
9	realtors had been consulted and then felt
10	maybe, except for a certain few areas,
11	generally speaking, it really shouldn't have
12	any impact on real estate values. That was
13	it. So the process was a cruel joke.
14	The question has been raised, if you
15	can give me a minute here, is there a clear
16	and present danger of an expansion and can it
17	happen. Well, you know, corporate jet travel
18	is alive and well. Teterboro and Morristown
19	are at capacity. Small jet manufacturers and
20	operators are expanding operations nationwide.
21	Current capacity, again, is strained. The
22	National Plan of Integrated Airport Systems,
23	although this is a planning document, it is
24	not a budget document, but it does set aside a
25	line item of, I'm sorry, \$12,750,000 for

1	improvements at Solberg Airport. When Mr.
2	Solberg circulated a letter to the public
3	saying it is an absolute lie by the officials
4	and no monies have been allocated, there was
5	some play on semantics going on there. The
6	fact of the matter is no, it is not on the
7	pipeline, but it is on the planning document
8	and, rather quickly, it can be placed into
9	that pipeline, because the only thing that
10	really needs to happen for this to move
11	forward would be updating of the Master Plan
12	and completion of the environmental assessment
13	process.
14	I don't have any faith in that process
15	whatsoever, and I don't think anyone else on
16	this Committee does.
17	We covered the State, and I think I
18	really already went over the slide about
19	municipal authority over airports, and we will
20	move on from there and just talk about a
21	couple of other issues of concern and raise a
22	few other points here.
23	We understand that there has been some
24	polling that has been taking place. We want
25	the residents to know that the Township has

43 1 absolutely nothing to do with the polling. We 2 haven't commissioned it, and I am in receipt 3 of a handout that was brought in tonight from 4 Mr. Solberg that indicates that apparently 5 this was his poll and some of you can believe 6 what you may, but obviously -- I will say 7 this, that Frank Gatti and I had an opportunity to talk about polling with Mr. 8 9 Solberg once before, and that was in the 10 spring of last year when we met at the gazebo 11 at the park, and this is when the first poll 12 had come out, and we have had many residents 13 tell us the kind of questions being asked and 14 so forth. I asked Mr. Solberg, we had asked 15 him for a copy of the questions at that time, 16 can we see the questions being asked of 17 residents. "Well, you can see some of those questions." "Well, why can't we see all of 18 19 the questions?" "Because some of those 20 questions are proprietary." "Well, does that 21 mean no answer?" I have, to date, not seen 22 one question that was asked of the residents 23 other than that which was reported to me or to 24 the rest of this Committee as to questions

25 being asked.

45/177

1	There has been a lot of information
2	out in the public. This Township Committee,
3	we have posted everything humanly possible on
4	our website. Again, I urge you to visit that
5	website. I will also say that we will make
6	this we will continue to make this process
7	as transparent as possible. The information
8	that we have laid out for the public is
9	information that is truthful and accurate and
10	reflects what has taken place over the last
11	many months. Our public positions and
12	pronouncements will now and will continue to
13	be consistent with the positions we take in
14	any private negotiations with Solberg
15	Aviation.
16	Now, a lot of questions have been
17	submitted. They can be answered either by way
18	of our consultants or one of us online. There
19	is the Mayor's e-mail, I am doing the best I
20	can in answering all of those. This folder
21	here is the ones that I haven't answered yet.
22	If anybody has e-mailed me or called me and
23	not gotten a response yet, I want you to know
24	you will get one, and I want you to know that
25	I don't know when you will get one, but you

1	will get one as soon as I can get one to you.
2	I promise you that. In the middle of this, I
3	am also trying to make a living, so I can only
4	spend so much time on that. But they will be
5	answered.
6	Technical questions, pass them along
7	and we will pass them along to the
8	consultants.
9	A couple of other things and then we
10	will wrap it up here. Okay. That is as much
11	information as we are able to present to the
12	public at this time. There is a lot to it, it
13	is complicated when you get involved with
14	various levels of authority, Federal, State,
15	local in any event, I just want to address
16	a few other things.
17	We can't stress enough how much this
18	township will change if the runway is allowed
19	to be lengthened and Federal funds are
20	accepted to do so, because in order to
21	implement the plan of Solberg Aviation, the
22	only way to do it is to accept Federal monies,
23	and when you accept Federal monies, you are
24	locked in in terms of restrictions on
25	operations, restrictions on noise and the

1 like. I can give you a good example of that, 2 if you bear with me, the City of Naples is an 3 example, they are in Florida. They own a municipal airport and passed an ordinance 4 5 banning Stage 2 jets. That is somewhat older and noisier jets. It is a 1961 study, a noise 6 7 study, and owners of those jets sued the City 8 stating it was a violation of the commerce 9 laws of the Constitution. It was upheld in 10 the Federal Court and in the State Court. The 11 FAA tried to say the noise study had defects, 12 and they tried to block the ban. The FAA 13 argued they weren't bound by the Federal Court decision, and since they received Federal 14 15 grants, they could decide if the Naples ban 16 violated Federal law. 17 The FAA did just that, they determined the noise ban violated the Federal law, the 18 19 Federal Grant Agreement, and was pre-empted by 20 Federal law. 21 So that is a classic example. A 22 recent example of how acceptance of Federal 23 money restricts you significantly in terms of

25

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

47

operations and in terms of noise.

So why are we here tonight? You are

1 about to begin the public comments on this 2 bond ordinance. One thing that hasn't been addressed is the impact of the proposed 3 4 expansion on property values. We talked about 5 quality of life, but we should also remember 6 that property values are at stake. We have 7 questions about the cost of the acquisition, 8 and rightfully so. But we need to set those 9 questions against the cost of lower property 10 values for all of us, if the expansion goes through. 11 12 A lot of people are asking why are you 13 doing this. Why are you pursuing these 14 options? And in my view, and that of this 15 Committee, I believe the cost of inaction is 16 too high. I would not be able to sleep at 17 night if I were to leave this Committee and 18 leave this to come what may in the future. 19 This has gone on for far too long, and it is 20 time for some closure. 21 I don't want to see through inaction 22 something happen that will change the

49/177

## https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

23	character of this town irrevocably. And I
24	particularly don't want to see it while I am
25	sitting up here and neither does the rest of

1	this Committee. We have a responsibility to
2	act in the best interests of the community.
3	I wanted to speak a little bit to the
4	issue of a mediator that was proposed. I am
5	100 percent opposed at this point to a
6	mediator, and I think it would be an
7	abrogation of my responsibilities and those of
8	this Committee to hand this issue over to a
9	mediator who, in all likelihood, will just
10	split the difference, because the mediator has
11	no stake in this. The mediators the
12	Township has goals, Solberg Aviation has
13	goals, the mediator's goal is resolution of a
14	dispute. So I don't want to hand that off to
15	somebody else, and I will also vote against
16	that, unless we are down to the we are on
17	the eve of a wonderful resolution of all of
18	our issues, and we have achieved all of our
19	goals and there are some things to iron out.
20	Maybe at that point it would be helpful, but
21	whether or not to accept business jets isn't

22	any kind of a numerical split the difference
23	question. You don't look to the middle
24	ground, and in the view of this Committee, any
25	expansion of this runway beyond the licensed

1	3,735 feet, will irrevocably, it will pave the
2	way for corporate jets and will forever change
3	the face of this township.
4	So we will not leave our natural
5	resources or open space policies and our
6	community character to the whim and chance of
7	a third party that we don't know, who comes
8	walking in here from out of town and says, "I
9	can help you guys work this out."
10	I am not interested in meeting that
11	person. Readington should plan Readington.
12	(Applause.)
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: Thank you. I think
14	I've talked enough. I am sure you all agree
15	with that.
16	Now, the public hearing, give me one
17	more minute here to find one piece of paper.
18	What we will do is lift the screen, turn on
19	some lights up here and shift back over here

20	and we will open. Before we open the meeting,
21	I will ask the Committee if they have anything
22	to say, if any Committee members have anything
23	further to add to what was presented.

24We will open the microphone for public25comments and, in keeping with past procedure

1	with meetings of this size, we will limit each
2	speaker's time to two minutes. However, we
3	will, of course, be reasonable and we will be
4	flexible. We will make every reasonable
5	effort possible that everybody can be heard.
6	We ask that everybody extend the courtesies
7	they would expect from their neighbors when
8	they are speaking. Please don't interrupt
9	each other. Please listen to the comments of
10	the other speakers to save some time. If you
11	hear a comment which has been made before,
12	perhaps we can move on to the next speaker to
13	avoid repetition, and receive as much input as
14	possible from the public.
15	I ask when you come to the microphone
16	that you sign in and identify yourself by name
17	and residence address. Be sure to speak
18	clearly into the microphone so the

19	Stenographer can take down everything that you
20	have said.
21	Let us get resituated, and we will get
22	started.
23	One other thing, if you could spell
24	your name for the record as well. With regard
25	to questions, we can't entertain questions

51

1	from the podium because, based upon past
2	experience, although we may want to try to
3	answer a question quickly and get something
4	out of the way, and that is my tendency to try
5	to do that, but oftentimes it leads into a
6	question-and-answer session, and one speaker
7	takes up too much time and other people don't
8	get to speak. So if you do have a question,
9	you can go ahead and ask it, and we will be
10	taking down as many of your questions as we
11	can, and at the conclusion of the public
12	comments, the Committee will answer as many
13	questions as possible. If you feel yourself
14	that your question hasn't been answered, hand
15	it in in writing to me or to Vita and make
16	sure to give your name, address and phone
17	number and your e-mail address and we will get

53/177

18	you an answer as soon as possible.
19	Again, we are not going to be able to
20	engage in a debate. This is a public comment
21	on an ordinance. What we will try to do
22	before we begin, does any member of the
23	Committee have anything they would like to add
24	to any of the information that has been
25	presented so far?

1	MR. AURIEMMA: I would like to speak
2	to one point that wasn't mentioned this
3	evening. I would like to speak to the letter
4	that Mr. Solberg sent to the members of our
5	Township last week in an effort to keep us all
6	informed. Unfortunately, I think there was
7	some misinformation in this letter, most of
8	which was corrected this evening. However,
9	there was one line that I would like to see
10	supported by the airport owners this evening,
11	and that line is "We are happy with the
12	airport the way it is."
13	With utmost respect to Mr. Solberg, I
14	think you need to reaffirm your intentions to
15	the residents this evening. If you are happy

9/22/	/20	1	8
-------	-----	---	---

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
16	with the airport as it exists, you need to
17	commit to this community that you will not
18	expand the runway beyond its current 3,735
19	feet in perpetuity.
20	(Applause.)
21	MR. AURIEMMA: And that you, your
22	sisters and business partners will put pen to
23	paper and make a commitment of no expansion to
24	the residents of Readington Township.
25	(Applause.)

1	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anything
2	further from the Committee? Okay. Following
3	our normal procedure, what we will do here is
4	start in the front row. We could start in the
5	back row, but no, we will start in the
6	front row. We will go across and do both
7	sides of the room. If you would like to
8	speak, you can start to queue up, if you will,
9	at the microphone to keep things moving.
10	MR. VERNON: My name is Ray Vernon,
11	and I live on Rockefeller Mills Road.
12	I say if this is supposed to be for
13	the township, let the people of the township
14	decide our fate, not you people up there who

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

15	are lying to us. You understand that the
16	changes and all the grants you have to meet,
17	it is not going to be a grant, it is going to
18	be a loan. You will not get the money from
19	the State, you are going to have to borrow the
20	money, which means it will come out of our
21	pockets, your pockets, your pockets, your
22	pockets and your pocket. It will come out of
23	everybody's pocket here.
24	They also put in here that if you give
25	farmland preservation, and if you also try to

1	go in for a tax deduction on farmland
2	assessment, apparently, that will no longer be
3	allowed. The State is in debt. This County
4	is in debt. They are going to start cutting
5	money someplace. They are going to start
6	holding back and making it harder for people
7	to get money, and they are doing it here. You
8	have to read the criteria of about 5,000
9	people per square mile. No Hunterdon
10	municipality comes close to that figure.
11	When this battle all started when
12	did it start? What year?
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: This is public comment.

14	MR. VERNON: I was just wondering,
15	because once again, if you push the runway in
16	and you put a field in, even if you go in your
17	safety map, it is out of the safety zone. I
18	don't want to see what happened up at
19	Teterboro happen here, nobody does. But let
20	the people decide their fate. Let them do it.
21	Even if you are against it, even if
22	you are against the airport, then let the
23	people vote on this. Don't let these people
24	tell you the way it is supposed to be, you
25	have to decide for yourself. You are supposed

55

1	to be a government for the people, not the
2	selective people up there on stage. Thank
3	you.
4	MAYOR SHAMEY: Thank you.
5	MS. MEKOVETZ: Will you sign your
6	name, sir, we're trying to keep a record of

who spoke.

8	MAYOR SHAMEY: Okay, next.
9	MS. KRUG: My name is Ingelore Krug at
10	20 Old Readington Road in Whitehouse Station.
11	MAYOR SHAMEY: You have to get in

9/22/	201	8
-------	-----	---

12	closer to the microphone.
13	MS. KRUG: What can I tell you?
14	MAYOR SHAMEY: Just speak up a little,
15	if you would. The mike should pick it up.
16	MS. KRUG: I have been a resident of
17	Readington Township since 1962. At that time,
18	there was this thing about the jetport is
19	coming, the jetport is coming. I have lived
20	with the airport all these years that I have
21	been here. My son learned to fly at the
22	airport, he is a pilot, he flies for American
23	Airlines. He flies commuter planes. I asked
24	him about the length of the runway, could he
25	land the plane that he flies for American

1	Airlines on that runway, and he said oh, yes,
2	he could, but he would not be able to take
3	off, because that would not be long enough. I
4	can't tell you what he is flying, it is a
5	commuter and it is a jet.
6	I want to urge everybody to vote for
7	our freedom for the fact that we should be
8	able to live in peace and harmony and don't
9	give us any more taxes that we can't afford.
10	I am one of the oldest people here, and I am

9/22/201	8
----------	---

11	being forced out. My son was forced out, he
12	couldn't afford to live here. Thank you.
13	MR. LISKOVEC: My name is Larry
14	Liskovec, Old Farm Road.
15	This is the first meeting I have
16	attended. I want to thank all of you for
17	having saved so much of the property that
18	there is around here for preservation.
19	(Applause.)
20	MR. LISKOVEC: I would also like to
21	make two statements: One is that I hope you
22	bring this to a conclusion, a final,
23	irrevocable conclusion, and I hope you do not
24	limit yourself in the means you have available
25	to yourself. The last statement I wish to

1	make is I am perfectly willing to pay
2	additional taxes, ten times anything you
3	project tonight so that this matter is
4	resolved.
5	With that, thank you.
6	(Applause.)
7	MR. RUDD: If I had known this type of
8	thing was taking place nine years ago when I
9	bought my house in Whitehouse, I probably

10	would have not purchased it. I would not have
11	purchased it or come into this area, I would
12	have gone elsewhere. That would have affected
13	property values, less than people purchasing
14	here.
15	MS. DRAGAN: You have to allow the
16	speakers to say their piece. We have a Court
17	Reporter here who is trying to take down an
18	accurate transcript of the hearing, and she
19	can't do it with people doing cat calls and
20	other things in the back. Please.
21	MR. MELLOS: My name is Joe Mellos,
22	and I have lived here for the past 33 or 34
23	years, whatever it is. All I could say is no
24	more taxes. I pay already \$8,190 a year for
25	taxes that I pay, this is too much money. Who

58

is this committee there to protect the
 taxpayers? Nobody, every year the taxes go up
 and up and up, and no more taxes. I feel that
 the airport there, there must be opportunities
 for the insiders who will make this happen.
 For instance, I have here a flyer about Ms.
 Julia Allen saying we will get \$44,000, that

9/22/20	)18
---------	-----

8	is the taxpayers, that is the money. Now I
9	can't say, for the Committee, I don't know,
10	because that is what I see, and I don't know
11	what is going on. I hear Ms. Allen now make

12 it sound like this would not cost the 13 taxpayers anything. Why do we need the 22 14 million, then? Why can't we have Solberg 15 speak his mind here in front of everybody to

16 say what he has to say? We only hear one side 17 of the story every time. Why can't he say 18 something? The citizens don't want to pay 19 more taxes in this county. Thank you. No

20	more taxes, that is all I have to say.
21	MAYOR SHAMEY: Before we take any
22	further public comments, one thing I stated,
23	we would like to give the Solbergs the
24	opportunity to be heard or to present any
25	information. I saw Mr. Solberg in the

hallway. Is any member of the Solberg
 Aviation in the audience that would like to be
 heard? I guess he didn't want to come in the
 room.
 MR. JONES: My name is Michael Jones,
 33 Oakland Drive West in Whitehouse Station.

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

I did not live here for as long as

8	many people in this audience and certainly not
9	as long as people who sit on the Municipal
10	Council, but it seems like we have had a
11	love/hate relationship with this facility for
12	quite some time. It is kind of ironic that
13	the use that predated the first zoning
14	ordinance in our community is now a pre-
15	existing non-conforming use. After all, the
16	airport was here before most of us that are
17	sitting in this room today. I think that is
18	something that we should think about.
19	We are here about a bond issue. I
20	have something to say on the bond itself, the
21	whole process. It was stated by Mr. Gatti
22	earlier this evening, that we have approxi-
23	mately \$47 million in municipal debt and
24	approximately \$17 million of that are due back
25	to our municipality from open space funds. I

hope that someone could address how long we have been waiting for those funds; what the likelihood of the success of the application to secure those funds might be, and how the bonds are structured to ensure that we don't

62/177

6	pay a penalty in an attempt to redeem or pay
7	off these debts prior to their full maturity.
8	The plan, I understand from the \$22 million
9	that you wish to borrow, would permit us to
10	recuperate those dollars with the grant
11	programs, but no one really addressed how,
12	actually, we would pay the bonds, what impact
13	that has on the bond rate that we would
14	receive, one; and initially, we would obtain
15	the bonds. I saw a little difference between
16	three-year notes and a longer-term bond.
16 17	three-year notes and a longer-term bond. The financial impact of that has not
17	The financial impact of that has not
17 18	The financial impact of that has not been made clear from some of the material that
17 18 19	The financial impact of that has not been made clear from some of the material that I have read on the municipal website.
17 18 19 20	The financial impact of that has not been made clear from some of the material that I have read on the municipal website. The second comment I wanted to make is
17 18 19 20 21	The financial impact of that has not been made clear from some of the material that I have read on the municipal website. The second comment I wanted to make is when you look at the tax revenue from the
17 18 19 20 21 22	The financial impact of that has not been made clear from some of the material that I have read on the municipal website. The second comment I wanted to make is when you look at the tax revenue from the airport, at present we presently receive about

by the municipality in the recent mailing, it
 showed what I would describe as a cross -- it
 showed runways, the developed portion of the

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
4	property and all of the buffer zones that the
5	FAA requires around the runways, in order for
6	them to maintain and operate an airport up to
7	FAA standards. The sum of the area of the
8	land outlined on that map far exceeds 56
9	acres. Why have we not been taxing all of the
10	land used as principally commercial property
11	as such, simply because the Solbergs have
12	grown hay or corn on some of the property that
13	they have to have in order to operate the
14	airport. That tax is agricultural.
15	MR. AURIEMMA: I ask you to wrap it
16	up.
17	MR. JONES: Lastly, talking about
18	preservation of this property as open space, I
19	would hope that the water quality issues would
20	be addressed more broadly rather than simply
21	looking at the airport. Chambers Brook is not
22	one of the waterways in this community that is
	monitored at all. It is not part of the South
23	Monitoria at all. It is not part of the boath
23 24	Branch Watershed Association, nor the Rockaway

habitat at present. I hope that the water
 quality on the airport will extend to water

64/177

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
3	quality concerns for the other contributories
4	
4	in Chambers Brook. Thank you very much.
5	MRS. CROWTHER: Marlene Crowther, 155
6	Stanton Road.
7	I want to thank you all again for your
8	efforts, and please keep it up. I think the
9	township, the people, are definitely behind
10	you. That was shown at the last Township
11	election, so please keep it up. Thank you.
12	MR. MITCHELL: My name is James
13	Mitchell, and I live on Main Street in
14	Whitehouse.
15	As the gentleman over here stated, he
16	said just said if you are making the decisions
17	obviously, at the last election, you were
18	elected by the people. It is no secret, the
19	majority of the people don't want it expanded,
20	and I think, you know, everybody has the right
21	to a business, but when it bothers everybody
22	else's property, the thing that hasn't been
23	brought up here, your values will go down.
24	I looked at the traffic, the traffic
25	you have on Main Street now, and what do you

9/22/2018

2	and more of these things where these companies
3	will have their CEOs flying in here left and
4	right. Do you think you will have less
5	traffic? What do you think your taxes will go
6	up then to fix the roads and get more police
7	and retirement for the police. Nobody wants
8	to hear that.
9	Every action has a reaction.
10	Everybody forgets the reaction when that gets
11	expanded. What it does to the rest of us I
12	also state one thing, and I have been here
13	over and over and Mr. Solberg has been invited
14	to come up here, and he is out there now, but
15	he can't come in here. So that shows the
16	cowardice of the man when he can't come in and
17	face these people. Thank you.
18	MR. HANNIGAN: My name is Bob
19	Hannigan, and I live at 5 John Reading Road.
20	I don't want to begin this way, but I
21	would like to say that Mr. Solberg, I have
22	known Mr. Solberg, and he is no coward. He
23	has been at plenty of these meetings.
24	A VOICE: Then where is he?
25	MAYOR SHAMEY: Please don't call out.

1	MR. HANNIGAN: He has made many
2	proposals, and he has tried to negotiate in
3	good faith.
4	This is a meeting of a different
5	feather, it is our meeting, it is not his
6	meeting.
7	I moved to this township eight and a
8	half years ago, and I saw signs on the side of
9	the road "No airport expansion." I have
10	listened to all of the debates. I have gone
11	to many meetings. When two parties enter
12	into a negotiation, there is give and take on
13	both sides. I see give on the Solbergs side,
14	I see no give on this side.
15	There will never be a successful
16	negotiation until the two parties agree to
17	negotiate fairly. This issue has been used
18	over and over again for campaigning. I think
19	these folks have been on a committee, and they
20	passed the mayorship from one person to the
21	next person to the next person, and I don't
22	know who will have it next, but it is
23	completely political. This thing should have
24	been settled a long time ago. I don't know
25	how much in legal fees that they have spent

	65
1	over the years now, but I think that in three
2	months that you folks should have this thing
3	negotiated fairly with the Solbergs with no
4	taxpayer money coming out of it. If you can't
5	get it down in three months, please resign.
6	MAYOR SHAMEY: If you can do that,
7	then we want you.
8	MR. STECKLOW: My name is James
9	Stecklow, 396 Ferncrest Court.
10	I would like to talk to Mr. Gatti
11	after the meeting, because I have a
12	hypothetical question to ask you. Rather than
13	try to discuss it here tonight, I would like
14	to ask it from both sides.
15	MR. GATTI: Okay.
16	MAYOR SHAMEY: We are going to go row
17	by row. Next. If you are in the front row,
18	then you should have been up.
19	Is there anybody else?
20	MR. PETERS: My name is Ted Peters,
21	and I want to thank you for the opportunity to
22	allow me to speak tonight.
23	I am a long-time resident of
24	Readington Township, and I am also a long-time
25	participant on the issue at hand tonight. I

1 was involved in these issues since 1983, with 2 the airport. As you probably know, that act 3 was the genesis of it. During the past two 4 decades since that time, Township monies have 5 been spent on the effort of keeping Readington 6 rural. 7 At the present juncture, the goals of the Township and those of the airport are 8 9 contraindicated. This meant getting involved, 10 which I did, and eventually leading up to the formation of BRAC, which involves Branchburg 11 12 and Readington Townships. It is an organiza-13 tion of like-minded individuals. Over the 14 years, BRAC supported growth, in view of the 15 action being taken by the Township to maintain the status of the Solberg Airport. This was a 16 17 common issue then and it still is now. Our support is to at least offer 4,000 area 18 19 persons whose opinion was in concert with that 20 of the Township Committee to achieve that 21 result. Twice in the last few years, our 22 supporters were disappointed. There was a 23 decision by the Committee that all avenues had 24 to be covered to reach an amicable agreement 25 as to the status of our airport, which had not

1	been adequately addressed. As far as I am
2	able to ascertain, negotiations completed to
3	this point have outlined the Township's
4	position in detail. All of the "I"s have been
5	dotted and all of the "T"s have been crossed
6	to allow the Township to proceed seriously
7	with any further discussions.
8	MR. AURIEMMA: You are almost out of
9	time.
10	MR. PETERS: I have a couple more
11	issues. Barring a miraculous breakthrough in
12	the Township's decision, I agree with the
13	majority of the BRAC supporters that it is
14	time to take action and decide this
15	longstanding problem. I am sure it will be a
16	major step in the goal to keep Readington
17	rural and the status it maintains.
18	In addition, continuing on, further
19	success in preserving open space will be an
20	assurance that we will keep Readington rural,
21	and that this can be done.
22	MAYOR SHAMEY: We will go to the next
23	row. Please queue up if there is anybody in
24	this row. Anybody in the third row? Anybody

68

1 MR. NONNI: My name is Robert Nonni, 2 and I live at 3 Proprietary Lane in Whitehouse. 3 I have been a resident of Readington 4 Township for 18 years. I am opposed to the 5 approval of a bond ordinance to fund the 6 7 purchase of Solberg Airport. I do not believe 8 the Township Committee is exercising the will 9 of the majority in improving the service. I 10 have contacted the office of Funding at the 11 FAA this week, and the Director of Aeronautics 12 of the Department of Transportation and 13 neither authority was able to report any 14 applications, either pending or approved, for 15 grants or funding by the owners of Solberg 16 Airport. 17 The New Jersey Department of 18 Transportation did report that a project of 19 the recoating of the runway was being cost 20 evaluated, but it has not been approved for 21 funding. Should funding for the airport 22 improvements be granted in the future, 23 Readington Township's zoning review and site

71/177

24	plan	requi	rement	s would	be	required before
25	such	work	could	commence	€.	Our local

1	government will postpone and deny any such
2	transaction without the cost of appraisals,
3	legal fees and without committing the Township
4	to further debt. Readington Township is not,
5	in my view, in clear and present danger of
6	expansion being funded by the Federal
7	Government.
8	I am not in favor of this show of
9	force by our Township Committee. With regard
10	to Township negotiations for the airport, both
11	sides need to be convinced of the honesty.
12	The people no longer believe there is
13	unanimity in not wanting the expansion. Even
14	Solberg states, "We want to keep Solberg
15	Airport a small community airport, and we are
16	happy with the airport the way it is." Why
17	then has this issue not been resolved? Both
18	sides of these negotiations seem to be acting
19	out of pride and stubbornness.
20	I believe the parties need a mediator
21	so they can better hear each other and come to

an understanding and rather than a profes-

23	sional mediator who has loyalty to whoever
24	pays the bill, I am suggesting that a group of
25	residents serve as mediators in further

1	negotiations. These negotiations would be
2	reported back to the residents. This is our
3	town, and we now need to be more involved in
4	this process. A group that we can trust must
5	come down squarely in the middle of this issue
6	with one agenda, love of Readington Township.
7	Thank you very much.
8	MAYOR SHAMEY: Next row?
9	MR. KEEFE: Ladies and gentlemen, my
10	name is Bill Keefe, K-E-E-F-E, I live in
11	Readington Township.
12	By virtue of background, I have a 20-
13	year career in banking with extensive
14	experience in municipal finance. Just taking
15	the numbers that Mr. Gatti had spoken to
16	previously, I hardly think you people know how
17	to spend money, with a \$22 million debt level.
18	I deal with municipalities around the State
19	and the debt level is hardly suffocating. In
20	fact, I wish my debt level on my house was

21	only four percent of its value, so
22	congratulations on that front.
23	As far as moving forward at this point
24	in time, I understand that the Township brings
25	in about \$6 million in annual tax revenue. If

1	that does nothing but go with inflation, it
2	will serve as (inaudible) on debt if we ever
3	reach that, and I highly doubt that. So
4	congratulations.
5	My parting comments are that I hope
6	that the Township Committee can work
7	productively with the Solbergs to an ending
8	that serves Readington Township in the best
9	way possible. Thank you very much.
10	MR. FARSIOU: My name is Abraham
11	Farsiou, I live on Weavers Lane in Whitehouse
12	Station.
13	You have been elected and re-elected
14	by the people of Readington Township. I think
15	you are doing a fantastic job for our
16	township. We don't want this airport
17	expanding to a jetport. But according to the
18	pamphlet, I don't know why you are here
19	tonight, because Solberg says that Solberg is

20	not planning any changes. Thank you very
21	much.
22	MR. DUDZINSKI: Good evening, Ed
23	Dudzinski, 5 Brier Road, Whitehouse Station.
24	I will repeat what I suggested at the
25	last Township Committee meeting on the 17th.

1 I very much would like to hear what Thor 2 Solberg has to say. I think the public is 3 desperate to hear what he has to say. MAYOR SHAMEY: So would we. 4 5 MR. DUDZINSKI: I know Suzie Nagle and her husband Ed asked that this meeting be 6 7 postponed, because they had a vacation planned that they are on. I would again like to 8 9 suggest that the Township Committee go the extra mile and try to schedule another 10 11 meeting, not two weeks in advance notice, but 12 two months in advance notice to have the 13 Solbergs invited to come and present their case directly to the people. The people are 14 15 desperate to hear what they have to say, 16 directly. They are very confused. We are at 17 the he said/she said point, and there are 18 letters in the paper that are not serving any

75/177

19	good. We need to hear directly from them so
20	we can understand both sides of the issue.
21	The other thing, I agree whole-
22	heartedly with what Mayor Shamey said, which
23	is Readington for Readington. I think when
24	any action is imposed, it should be put to a
25	vote of the people, so that the people can

73

1	decide. That is all I have to say.
2	MR. DOMCI: My name is John Domci,
3	D-O-M-C-I. I have been in this township for
4	25 years. I have been basically silent. I had
5	to work my butt off to support my family and
6	take care of the taxes that have gone up from
7	\$1,800 to over 10,000. Our roads are a
8	shamble. There are no sewers, there is no
9	municipal help from our municipality. You
10	take the money and it goes directly into the
11	municipal coffers. None of it is coming back
12	to us in the signs of sewage, and if you had
13	been in this township 25 years and you have a
14	house for 25 years, be prepared. Your septic
15	is ready to go. You will be looking at
16	\$45,000. If it takes you five years to make

76/177

9/22/2	01	8
--------	----	---

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
17	that money, it is \$9,000 on top of your taxes,
18	and you will be spending that for this bond
19	issue. This bond issue has got to be looked
20	at, and it has got to be controlled and we
21	need to take control of it.
22	They refer to the jetport and the
23	jets, but what I understood, my neighbor came
24	to me and said that there is going to be F47s
25	to be flying into this airport. I said there

1	are jets flying into this area now that are
2	commercial. When they put the school on this
3	property and on this runway, we were told
4	there was people up in arms about this school
5	because of the runway. They said no, the
6	airport is safe, it is a commercial addition
7	to this community, and it is needed. Here is
8	the school and we are more worried about our
9	property values than the kids that are in here
10	every day. That is all I have to say.
11	MR. BLAGBROUGH: My name is Al
12	Blagbrough, and I live at 79 Readington Road.
13	I would just like to say that you guys
14	have a house divided, and everybody knows it.
15	Your analogy about the bond, using a home

16	equity loan of \$22 million cap and you don't
17	necessarily have to spend all of that, that is
18	really not a good analogy, because you kind of
19	own your home when you go get an equity loan,
20	you don't quite own Solberg Airport yet. A
21	better analogy would be that you were pre-
22	approved for a mortgage of \$22 million. Now
23	whether or not you spend that money and buy
24	that house, that is up for grabs, but I have a
25	hard time seeing why you need money to get the

1	preapproved mortgage when the seller doesn't
2	want to sell. That is my only comment. I see
3	it, I have seen as much of it as I can. I am
4	trying to read through the he said/she said
5	letters, and it is a precursor to eminent
6	domain. That is where I draw the line.
7	I don't care how many issues are on
8	both sides of the equation, because I think
9	there is merit to both sides of the house.
10	But eminent domain is wrong, and you guys
11	should go on record as saying you will never
12	do that. That is the end of my comment.
13	(Applause.)
14	MAYOR SHAMEY: Anybody else in this

15	gentleman's row? Okay. Would you please come
16	up.
17	MS. MOSULINO: My name is Diane
18	Mosulino.
19	Actually mine is a three-part
20	question. I would like to know what happens
21	if this purchase doesn't come to pass and what
22	will happen with the money, the bond, and how
23	much of the \$22 million will be used for non-
24	purchase issues such as evaluations and
25	assessments. If there is no purchase of the

1 airport with this money then, inevitably, will 2 this money be used for eminent domain and 3 condemnation? My last question is why wasn't this bond issue put on a vote for referendum? 4 5 Thank you. MR. HELLINGS: My name is Steve 6 7 Hellings, Old Highway 28. I have a couple of things. 8 9 You talked about cost per -- I never 10 heard any cost, but the loss of ratables on 11 open space, what the long-term loss of

ratables would be. Now, the real important

12

9/22/2018
-----------

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
13	thing is in two years, ten years, or 30 years,
14	if there is technology like a computer chip,
15	let's say, the government has an airplane that
16	will fly like a helicopter and becomes a jet,
17	will you still throw it out of there, even
18	though you are limited to 3,700 feet? So you
19	will still get jets. The issue I have, there
20	are issues on my part of the town that no one
21	will help me, people, we have pedophile motels
22	sitting on Route 22. What about our property
23	values? I want to know why I am supposed to
24	pay for their lack of homes? How about a
25	surcharge for the people that have to fight

1	most financial rewards? All of the ones that
2	voted for certain people that live over by the
3	airport that are worried about their property
4	values, not the betterment of Readington
5	Township, only them. That is the me, myself
6	and I type of individual that is in the
7	corporate world. That is the problem with
8	this country today. Thank you.
9	MR. SCLAFALI: Mike Sclafali, 18
10	Beaver Lane.
11	I have lived here for 26 years, and I

12	don't know if I'm having a delusion, but about
13	four years ago I was in a meeting with a
14	couple of hundred residents in the County
15	College where the DOT was trying to educate me
16	and hundreds of others about the expansion
17	plan that Solberg put in. So I don't agree
18	that he is not planning on expanding. I have
19	a problem with the literature he puts out
20	saying he doesn't want any changes. That has
21	no reliability to me.
22	The second thing is when he does
23	expand, it will double and triple the numbers
24	and the size of the planes. That is why you
25	have this safety zone that everyone agrees

1	will have to be put in place. Another name
2	for that is metropolitan impact danger zone.
3	I am not worried about me, personally, I am
4	worried about the 400 kids in this school that
5	are going to be right on the fringe of a
6	danger zone, and they are going to be, years
7	from now, worrying about the crash and a
8	couple of kids that have died, and all these
9	supporters of expansion of the airport will
10	not be in this room when we are holding the

11	funeral. It is going to happen, because they
12	are tripling the risk.
13	I support you, get this thing moving.
14	(Applause.)
15	MR. COLE: Bob Cole, 120 Readington
16	Road.
17	Mayor Shamey, could it be that some
18	day every citizen in Readington is able to
19	look back on this struggle, this time in our
20	community, this wrestling with what is right
21	and what is wrong and recognize above any yet
22	to be determined resolution your uncommon
23	leadership? You and I were both there in
24	Readington Middle School gym, in September of
25	2001, with people on both sides of the issue.

1	They sat nervously, if not agitated, while the
2	other side spewed rhetoric. And five years
3	later with the Committee intact, here we are
4	still not better, maybe worse.
5	There are many who would shrink from
6	such responsibility, and clearly other
7	officials do not have the talent to guide such
8	divergent stakeholders to a fair and equitable

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
9	resolution. Yet I am here to express my
10	confidence in you, personally.
11	I appeal to you, knowing full well
12	there are others on your Committee who have
13	passed the point of no return a long time ago.
14	And while these people will continue to
15	polarize the issue, I believe you have the
16	ability to center it.
17	I am here tonight to urge you to avoid
18	passing this ordinance and continue seeking
19	common ground on this issue with the Solberg
20	family. Funding at this point in time is
21	clearly not the issue. Theoretically
22	speaking, it seems the Committee could set
23	aside all the money in the world, but how does
24	it make it possible to buy property that is
25	simply not for sale. Your actions here

tonight will not inspire negotiations, it will
further incite bad feelings and destroy trust.
Trust has yet to be established. It takes a
lot to get past abusive patterns of behavior
on both sides.
I would be terribly displeased to find
out three months from now that this action

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.ntml
8	that you take potentially tonight was really
9	the condemnation train leaving the station.
10	Obviously, tempers have flared recently, but
11	it is time to get back to the table. Stop
12	hiring eminent domain experts.
13	I attended the meeting last month and
14	found it informative. I was aware this past
15	August when you set up the time for the
16	meetings. As the great, great grandson of the
17	village doctor, Dr. Johnson, and the grandson
18	of two hard working farmers, I ask you as the
19	Committee to be financially responsible. My
20	family began in the spirit of this town and
21	uses lessons learned to improve the future.
22	The common text of our small Readington
23	village is important to me. There is no place
24	for noisy jets and yet today I remain more
25	threatened by the stream of vehicles on our

81

roads going through the village than by the
 runway on its outskirts. I am worried about
 my tax bill, and your being trumped by some
 authority in the future.
 To change the personnel on your
 negotiating team might be appropriate, and get

7	professionals, establish a new time line and
8	get back to the table. I urge Thor, Lorraine
9	and Suzie to come back to the table as well.
10	MR. AURIEMMA: You have to wrap it up.
11	MR. COLE: Thank you for your leader-
12	ship. To be remembered you need tolerance,
13	honesty, commitment and a willingness to carve
14	your own path, despite the opinions of your
15	colleagues. May you lead onward.
16	MR. BOLGER: Good evening. My name is
17	Bolger, B-O-L-G-E-R.
18	I have been in the Township for 30
19	years. I know all you people personally, and
20	you have the highest integrity of people I
21	know. You have done everything you can to
22	protect this township. Let's talk about the
23	people like me who are not a member of any
24	organized group, just a poor homeowner who is
25	up here scared to death that we are going to

1	have a jetport. I am a native of New Jersey,
2	I've seen the results of poor planning. I
3	have seen whole towns go down the tubes. Just
4	go up to Teterboro, go up to Morristown.

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
5	All these people are talking about the
6	loss of 55 or \$60 a month in taxes. They have
7	no idea of the catastrophic effect a jetport
8	will have on this township. You save six to
9	\$7,000, so what, that will be polluted by
10	jets.
11	Are we serous? I think people ought
12	to educate themselves. When we had the 1983
13	Airport Safety Act, which has not happened at
14	this point I think Mayor Shamey may have
15	brought this up but in that Act it said
16	that all non-conforming properties, but it is
17	now conforming when it comes to this airport.
18	That means that it is now commercial property.
19	They can do anything they want. When
20	the Solbergs applied for the leader status in
21	the application, they said on the question of
22	is there any local opposition, they said not
23	much, when we were up there screaming and
24	yelling. You received petitions with 3,800
25	signatures from my good friend Ted about the

people who don't want this. I think you
 should move forward. I think you should
 approve it and acquire it once and for all.

86/177

4	We have been at this negotiation for about
5	three or four and a half years now, and it is
6	not going anywhere. It won't go anywhere. I
7	told you that privately, and I will tell you
8	publicly, and I said it at the time.
9	This is just a big joke, okay, and
10	maybe it is done to drive the price up. I
11	don't know, but do we really want to destroy
12	this township? That is what is at stake.
13	This township will be destroyed if that
14	becomes a jetport with the list of stuff that
15	they have on that presentation that you made,
16	the 5,000 foot runway. Nobody has talked
17	about the weight-carrying capacity. Nobody
18	has talked about the weight capacity of that
19	runway, which will be 60,000 pounds. What
20	kind of a plane can you land on a 60,000 pound
21	weight capacity, 5,000 feet long? You better
22	do it now, folks. If you want to support the
23	township then support these good folks.
24	(Applause.)
25	MR. SMITH: My name is William Smith,

1

2

and I live on County Line Road.

To understand the impact of the

3 Solberg proposal, to me the answer lies 4 largely in the numbers. Expansion of the 5 airport would have a massive impact on 6 property values, damage to quality of life. 7 The Committee is proposing a \$22 million bond 8 to purchase this property. This number is a 9 tiny fraction, by any measure, to maintain our 10 quality of life. This is our only solution, it seems. 11 12 One thing is when the bond is paid 13 off, the Township owns a valuable resource 14 owned by the residents. Second, there is a 15 good possibility these costs could be defrayed 16 from other sources of funding, open space and 17 preservation, and others may exist that the 18 expansion of the airport will cost the 19 Township in perpetuity. Residents, I urge you 20 not to be swayed by the out-of-date political 21 action committee. They use campaign finance 22 laws to hide both the true agenda and the names of the parties against it. 23 24 Certainly, some people think this is 25 the way to buy a township. I commend the

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
1	Committee for its dedication, perseverance,
2	civility and the integrity they have displayed
3	in the handling of this matter. It has not
4	been easy. They have my gratitude, respect
5	and my thanks.
6	In conclusion, let me reiterate that I
7	fully support the Committee on the bond
8	proposal, and I urge other township residents
9	to do the same. Thank you.
10	(Applause.)
11	MS. JENKIN: My name is Karen Jenkin.
12	I have an example of a letter that was
13	written to Mayor Shamey and Mr. Solberg in
14	regards to the airport, and I thought the
15	audience would be interested in hearing it.
16	This person could not attend. It was written
17	by Peter A. Sommers, okay. I did not write
18	this, this was his, so I am quoting word for
19	word what is in this letter.
20	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is he a resident?
21	MS. JENKIN: Yes, he is a resident of
22	Readington Township. I can't remember the
23	name of the road, off of Barley Sheaf. It
24	says, "Dear Sir, I have been a taxpaying
25	resident of Readington Township since 1977. I

9/22/2018

1	am adamantly opposed to the Township's
2	involvement in attempting to acquire by
3	condemnation, or otherwise, the property known
4	as Solberg Airport. This private property has
5	been in existence as an airport longer than I
6	and probably you have been a resident.
7	"If the Solbergs wish to expand, with
8	this effort they most certainly would need
9	great national, State and local approvals.
10	Beyond that, the airport property is their
11	property, and as long as they abide by all
12	applicable land use laws, they should be
13	permitted to do with it what is allowed.
14	"A month or so ago I wrote my tax
15	check and noticed my 1998 final tax bill
16	statement. In 1998, my final tax bill was
17	\$6,849. For 2005, my final tax bill was
18	\$10,788. My taxes have increased 57 percent
19	in seven years, or an average tax increase of
20	8.2 percent per year, almost a thousand
21	dollars. This, obviously, far exceeds the
22	cost of living during the same time period. I
23	did not move, I still live in the same house.
24	I don't recall any increase in municipal
25	services. In my opinion, the Readington

1 Township officials should be concentrating on 2 ways to improve services and limit tax 3 increases, not trying to buy development 4 rights to properties of private citizens or 5 businesses." It is signed Peter A. Sommers. 6 Now, the reason I read this --7 (Applause.) MS. JENKIN: A lot of these letters 8 9 have been sent to Mr. Shamey, both that way 10 and the other way with people who want the 11 Township to acquire the Solberg property. Are 12 these letters available in the township 13 building so we can read them? 14 MAYOR SHAMEY: Letters from residents 15 to the Mayor? 16 MS. JENKIN: To you or anybody. Is 17 that public documents? 18 MAYOR SHAMEY: I believe so. 19 MR. GATTI: They are supposed to be 20 available when you go in. 21 MR. AURIEMMA: You're not supposed to 22 answer questions. 23 MS. JENKIN: If they are available, 24 can it put up where they can be read?

MAYOR SHAMEY: I will address that

1 after the public comment. 2 MS. JENKIN: Okay. My last comment 3 here tonight is that I think this bond thing should be put up for a vote. That should not 4 5 be put in the hands of five people sitting in front of us. Thank you. 6 MR. ZWERLING: Eric Zwerling, I live 7 here in Readington. 8 9 Allowing the Solberg family and the 10 residents of Readington to enjoy our present 11 lifestyles, considering everything, there 12 should be no illusions for anyone anymore. If 13 the Solbergs are left up to their own designs, 14 Solberg Airport will eventually become a 15 jetport industrial park, and that is 16 absolutely certain. 17 Turning this property into a jetport 18 industrial park will have impact on thousands 19 of residents spread over several square miles. 20 No other property owner in Readington holds so 21 much power over so many people to seriously 22 impair their quality of life. 23 And make no mistake, a massive 24 unregulated noise source, such as a jetport,

would do exactly that. As Director of the

25

92/177

1	Rutgers University Noise Technical Assistance
2	Center, I have visited neighborhoods and
3	communities across the United States that have
4	been devastated by just such a noise source.
5	I have looked into crying eyes and listened to
6	voices trembling with anger and desperation.
7	People who, it is fair to say, have had their
8	lives and properties destroyed to some
9	measure.
10	We have repeatedly been asked by Thor
11	Solberg to trust his intentions, that he would
12	never do anything to harm his Readington,
13	where he was born and lives. I would love to
14	believe that, like a kindly grandfather, he is
15	only interested in what is best for us, and
16	has the purest of intentions. But
17	unfortunately, and it pains me to say this
18	about anybody, he has repeatedly revealed
19	himself to be completely untrustworthy, and
20	is, in fact, a master of deception and
21	distortion.
22	In this matter, he has told the truth
23	only two times, during the negotiations. He
24	plans to expand the airport into a jetport,

and he will spend whatever it takes to buy

90

1 seats on the Township Committee. Characteris-2 tically, however, he denies these statements 3 to the press. His covert and overt efforts to buy a more compliant Township Committee not 4 only don't instill trust, they instill fear. 5 6 He freely admits that his negotiations with 7 the NJ DOT were nothing more than a two-year 8 delay tactic. This certainly does not instill 9 trust. His purposefully deceptive and biased 10 push polls ringing Readington phones, once 11 again, do anything but instill trust. It 12 certainly does not instill trust that he chose 13 Lawrence Berger for his partner/negotiator, 14 considering that Berger has already been 15 sharply censured and rebuked for his bad faith 16 dealings by at least three different courts so 17 far, and is not content to contain his bad faith dealings to the courtroom. Mr. Berger 18 19 has threatened several Readington Township 20 Committee members. This last fact should 21 shock, sicken and enrage Readington residents. 22 And finally, the fact that Thor says I would

never harm Readington, that is a farce. Thor

24 Solberg is, in fact, a Florida resident that 25 hasn't voted in New Jersey for a decade is

1	final confirmation that he has not been
2	telling the truth. At this point, the only
3	thing I trust about Thor Solberg is that his
4	only interest is the self-interest of
5	maximizing his profits from this property
6	without any regard for the impacts it will
7	have on our home town or its individuals. I
8	also trust that Thor Solberg will stop at
9	virtually nothing in his quest to evolve his
10	airport into a regional jetport.
11	In stark contrast, I trust the
12	Township Committee to act on my behalf to
13	protect me, my family and my property from a
14	clear and present and credible danger while
15	fairly offering the Solbergs appropriate, but
16	not inflated, compensation.
17	Every single member of this Committee
18	was elected on the platform that they would
19	protect Readington's uniquely rural character.
20	The impossible and remarkable write-in victory
21	of Julia Allen was a crystal clear affirmation

22	that this platform represents the majority
23	view of Readington residents.
24	The Committee honors us with their
25	commitment to the real interests of the

92

1	community, which we salute and deeply
2	appreciate. If the Solbergs truly cared about
3	Readington, they would accept the Township's
4	offer, which would make them multi-
5	millionaires and leave them with the current
6	airport to operate into perpetuity, while
7	sparing all the rest of us the obnoxious
8	droning of jets overhead, the smell of jet
9	fuel fumes and increased traffic all over
10	Readington. However, since this would require
11	reason and consideration, it will not happen,
12	and the Committee must do whatever is
13	necessary to protect us. The Committee's
14	choices here are difficult and courageous,
15	traits that I deeply respect and which are
16	rarely present in politicians. They sit
17	before us ready to resolve this issue for once
18	and for all, now, rather than defer it to the
19	future. I thank the Committee members very

20

much for your steely determination in

21	resolving this matter.
22	MAYOR SHAMEY: Next?
23	MR. SHEPHARD: My name is George
24	Shephard, S-H-E-P-H-A-R-D, and I live at 95
25	Cole Road.

1	That is a tough act to follow. I
2	don't have any prepared speech, but I promise
3	I will be less than two minutes.
4	I think the time to act is now.
5	Sometimes in the process of negotiations, it
6	is necessary to get to a point of strength.
7	Perhaps this will be a point of strength that
8	will force the Solbergs to finally tell the
9	truth. I was watching Mr. Solberg in the back
10	of the room as the gentleman before me spoke.
11	He sat there shaking his head with some kind
12	of grin on his face. This is the time to
13	speak. You are here, and I would like to
14	reiterate Mr. Auriemma's call for you to come
15	down here and tell us the truth. If you don't
16	plan on expanding your airport, you can tell
17	us that tonight. You can enter into an
18	agreement with the Township Committee and this
19	can be over. Thank you very much.

20	MS. SOHL: Susan Sohl, I live on
21	Solberg Road.
22	At the November 11th election, the
23	Readington Township voters indicated their
24	support with the biggest turnout we had in
25	years, probably the most important issue then

1	and now is the airport. So a vote for the
2	Committee was a vote for acquisition of the
3	airport. Plain and simple. When a homeowner
4	wants to improve his or her property or pave
5	the driveway, it enhances the value of the
6	home and improves the aesthetic value of the
7	neighborhood. There is no negative effect on
8	the surrounding homes. When the airport wants
9	to improve or pave its property, it affects
10	the value of the surrounding homes and the
11	quality of life of everyone in the Township.
12	Proponents of the expansion do not seem to
13	know the difference.
14	If we could believe what the Solbergs
15	tell us, this issue would have been settled
16	long, long ago. I can't remember how many
17	times Thor told us point blank no jets. Then

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/oobberg_public_nearing.html
18	he said he couldn't control what kind of
19	aircraft would land there, as long as runways
20	would support it. I know he can't deny usage
21	of the airport, that the runways can take, so
22	why does he try to appease us with lies? We
23	are way past being appeased, most everyone in
24	the township knows the facts. People have
25	researched the statistics, they researched the

95

1	laws and the runways' capabilities. They are
2	not dealing with a naive public any longer.
3	The Township Committee, thank you for your
4	unwavering dedication. Please continue to
5	work as you are the people elected here, you
6	know you have our support. Thank you.
7	MS. SCHULZE: Diane Schulze, 14
8	Solberg Road.
9	It has now been over 20 years that
10	this airport has held the Township's residents
11	hostage with a threat of expansion. This
12	airport does have a history, it is one of
13	deception. This deception began in 1986, when
14	they applied for reliever status and signed a
15	legal document stating there were no

objections to this proposal. From there it

17	went to expanding only for safety issues,
18	which I can accept, but that was not the real
19	scenario. That sounded good for the public to
20	hear.
21	Mr. Solberg stood at this podium and
22	said no jets. He stated again he had no
23	control over what took off and what landed,
24	but always stated how he would do nothing to
25	change the atmosphere of the township.

96

1	Then the runway was to be paved to a
2	mere 3,000 plus feet for safety. I could go
3	on about conflicting documents, the
4	statements, but there is not enough time. The
5	last issue that shows the arrogance of the
6	owners was the article in the paper by Mr.
7	Solberg where he promises not to allow noisy
8	jets. I suppose that means he will only allow
9	quiet jets. Definitely an oxymoron, and to
10	change the runways to impact as few homes as
11	possible.
12	What about safety: What about safety
13	for the schools, to the homes and to the
14	properties and to the parks? How is this
15	possible when he stated he has no control over

100/177

16	what lands and what takes off?
17	If the airport negatively impacts one
18	person's property, it is one too many. What
19	gives a private business owner the right to
20	impact anybody's home? With the planes
21	getting larger, there are larger safety zones,
22	clearly, and drastically it devalues the
23	property. Will the airport owners reimburse
24	all of the property owners affected by this
25	expansion? I doubt it.

1	There are individuals who say it is
2	wrong for the Township to take the airport by
3	eminent domain, but does that mean the airport
4	has the right to take others' properties or to
5	devalue their homes?
6	(Applause.)
7	MS. SCHULZE: That is exactly what
8	happened when the Allentown Airport expanded,
9	and they will do it to us. An expansion of
10	this magnitude that the owners are proposing
11	will subject the residents of this community
12	to larger aircraft, noise and safety issues.
13	We will have aircrafts fly over our schools

9/22/20	18
---------	----

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_nearing.html
14	and parks on expansion for more than safety.
15	This expansion will change the rural area
16	forever.
17	You are our elected officials, and we
18	are putting our wellbeing in your hands. We
19	ask you to protect our children, our families,
20	our homes, and make your decision. I see no
21	other way than eminent domain, if Solberg
22	Corporation will not desist in its expansion
23	plans and remain at status quo. This has been
24	going on far too long, it is time to stop it.
25	MR. ROTH: My name is Andy Roth, and I

98

1	live at 615 Route 523 South.
2	Some of the comments I wanted to make
3	are addressed to Mr. Solberg, but also they
4	have bearing on the Committee. I do have some
5	questions for the Committee. The concern was
6	just raised, what right does the Government
7	have? Mr. Solberg has a concern about abuse
8	of government power, and that is the issue I
9	wanted to bring up at the public forum.
10	I wanted to bring up some principles
11	that are mentioned in the Bible regarding

government power and regarding private

13	property. There are two sections of the Bible
14	that I wanted to mention. One is in Chapter
15	22, Verse 8, (inaudible), and in that it says
16	when you build a house or a roof on your
17	house, you are building a parapet on the law,
18	and they lived in a society at that time where
19	somebody could fall off the roof and you could
20	say nobody will tell me what to do with my
21	property, because somebody could walk over and
22	fall off.
23	Now, is Mr. Solberg saying on the
24	other hand, government is taking too much
25	control? It is Verse (inaudible), Chapter 21

1	where King Ahab sought (inaudible) and said,
2	"I will not sell my vineyard, God forbid I
3	sell the inheritance of my father, even though
4	they had the price to compensate." In that
5	particular case, the King actually had to cull
6	through false deceit. Is that what we are
7	saying here? Or is Mr. Solberg? Is the
8	Government saying we are not trying to come in
9	and take over your property because of greed,
10	but what you are doing is causing an impact on
11	the people, and this is the only way it can be

12	done? I guess I am interested to know in
13	eminent domain some of the legal parts of that
14	can be addressed and what are the precedents
15	for using it in this capacity in order to
16	protect all of us in the community when one
17	person is going beyond and saying, "I will do
18	what I want with my property, even if it
19	affects others."
20	You finally have to take it a step
21	further. What is the legal and ethical
22	precedence for using it.
23	MR. DARGIS: Tony Dargis, 126
24	Hillcrest Road.
25	I would just like to maybe address the

1	issue of the tax increases that will come with
2	this bond ordinance. I would like to look at
3	this more as an investment. We invest an
4	awful lot of money in schools such as this, to
5	the order of 20 or \$25 million a year, to
6	build a school like this. I think it is a
7	very small investment to keep our environment,
8	to keep our township rule and to keep a lot of
9	additional traffic from coming into our

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

township.

11	The numbers here are really very
12	compelling. I mean, if you look and say that
13	this will cost us an additional \$200 a year,
14	well that is a lot of money, and I really
15	wouldn't want to pay that. But if I look, if
16	my property value goes down by five or ten
17	percent, I am talking about a 30 to \$40,000
18	loss. So I see this \$2,000 for ten years as a
19	great investment. I would urge the Committee
20	to pass this bond ordinance and to save our
21	township and keep it the way it is. Thank you
22	very much.
23	(Applause.)
24	MR. FORNER: My name is Robert Forner,

and I live in Whitehouse, and I've lived there

101

1	for 30 years or since 1930, I'm sorry.
2	I have seen farms where the farmers
3	had to work their butts off to maintain it and
4	raise their families, and other people come
5	along and just take advantage of this farmland
6	preservation and live like kings and queens.
7	But as far as this airport goes, Mr. Shamey

25

read a letter from the Chancellor of the

9	Schools about putting this school in this
10	position, but he probably didn't say how. He
11	said that you were going to take over the
12	airport so it couldn't increase. But you
13	already owned property over in East
14	Whitehouse, which was bought back in the '70s
15	to put a school, but they couldn't put it
16	there because of no sewerage.
17	So when the sewers went through, they
18	never used that land for anything else. What
19	happens to the tax dollars that you do not
20	collect from this school, from this airport
21	when you take it over? You people talk about
22	the profits and the losses, what happens to
23	all these tax dollars that are not going to
24	come into the Township along with the others?
25	Who is going to benefit most likely by the

	102
1	whole project, rather than the people of
2	Readington Township?
3	There has to be other ways of
4	benefitting, too, and that is what I am asking
5	you people, who will really benefit by this
6	take over of the airport? Not the people of
7	the Township, they are going to lose all the

8	way around. If we ever had a depression, who
9	will pay for their taxes? Thank you.
10	MR. GOODWIN: I am Bill Goodwin. I
11	want to make a few points.
12	The first one is the distinction
13	between an airport used for recreational
14	purposes and an airport used for public
15	transportation. By public transportation, it
16	would include commuter flights, corporate
17	flights, corporate traffic, business freight
18	traffic, as opposed to a recreational airport
19	used strictly for small aircraft.
20	The second point I would like to make
21	is for those airport transportations, they are
22	generally owned by the public. Lodging for
23	the airport guests, they will be owned by the
24	public.
25	The third point I would like to make

1	is that the Solbergs have consistently sought
2	to expand, to make the airport into a larger
3	public-type transportation airport, which
4	means that at some point the Township was
5	going to have another public body forced to

9/22/2018	
-----------	--

6	run the airport, if it is publicly owned,
7	because of the problems they cause. If there
8	are multiple problems around the community,
9	problems with the neighborhood noise and so
10	forth, and in order to balance these
11	properties with public interest, it enjoys
12	public ownership.
13	Another point I would like to make is
14	the Solbergs have to expand Solberg Airport.
15	They entered into an agreement with the State
16	that they will voluntarily agree that they
17	will enter into negotiations to sell their
18	airport.
19	Putting these things together, at some
20	point the Township will have to buy the
21	airport, so you can buy it now at whatever
22	price it would be, or you can buy it later
23	after it expands and it becomes a large public
24	nuisance.
25	If you buy it later, it will be

104

1	impossible.	The Tow	nship has	a choice,	you
2	could buy it	now at	whatever ]	price that	would
3	be, or buy i	t later	at some f	uture time	after

expansion where you will be paying possibly

5 double the price. Thank you. 6 MR. OELIO: John Oelio. I've lived in Readington Township since 1984. I moved here 7 8 from North Plainfield. I grew up in 9 Plainfield and it seems like a lot of these 10 issues is complicated, because they are also 11 pretty simple. I think most of the people 12 here are from towns like Linden and Rahway and 13 Elizabeth, and they came here to get a little 14 piece of heaven. I have my little acre and 15 three-quarters of heaven, and I have been 16 involved in these meetings, because I don't 17 want to get polluted. I believe we will get polluted if jets come in. 18 19 I am in favor of the bond issue. I am 20 not in favor of having a mediator. This is 21 Readington's business. I went out, I knocked 22 door to door, and people do not want jets. 23 They are not stupid, they understand what is 24 going to happen. The inconsistencies in Mr. 25 Solberg's literature are laughable, they're

embarrassing to me. This is in the newspaper,
 as to what he wants, what we want and he
 writes a letter saying he doesn't want to

4	change the airport. People say well, we have
5	inconsistencies. I have been looking at this
6	in an unbiased sight, and I don't see
7	inconsistencies on our part, I am not a brain
8	surgeon. When it comes to the political
9	issues of what to use to bring this to a head,
10	where there is some viable negotiations,
11	because if you think about this for a minute,
12	the simplicity of it is that if you own land,
13	you will stall as long as you can, and that
14	will drive the property values up.
15	So listen, the bond ordinance, I
16	believe it should pass. I believe it is a
17	great thing. The Committee has done a great
18	thing for Readington Township, and I hope you
19	all continue.
20	(Applause.)
21	MR. AIMOVITCH: Frank Aimovitch, I
22	have been following this for the last ten
23	years, and I find the most inconsistent
-	,
24	statements by Mr. Thor Solberg, and it is
25	unbelievable. Anyway, I will not go back over

the last ten years, but I received this

3

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

letter	î, ĉ	as	evei	ryone	else	did,	on 1	Febru	Jary
16th,	200	)6.	I	would	d like	e to	read	the	last

4	paragraph, the last couple of sentences. "In
5	closing, I want to assure you that any future
6	plans will include working closely with our
7	neighbors." I would like to underline this

8 statement, "We are happy with the airport the 9 way it is, and believe that having a Balloon 10 Festival, youth tours and open houses has 11 helped enhance the quality of life we have all

12 enjoyed. I hope you all agree. Thank you."
13 I see it is signed by Mr. Thor
14 Solberg. I don't know how many of you went to
15 Raritan Valley College, I don't remember

16 whether it was six or seven years ago when Mr.
17 Thor Solberg unfolded his huge plan to expand
18 this airport. I do remember Leonard Lance,
19 the FAA, the Department of Transportation and

20 many jet people, the other members were there, 21 and Mr. Solberg was there. I am wondering how 22 he can make a statement now that he is happy 23 with the way the airport is, and six or seven

24 years ago, unfold this master plan before25 Readington Township. I guess, I don't know if

1	it was for the FAA with the maps on the wall,
2	the scale, the architectural drawings of the
3	length of the runways, the turnoffs, the 150-
4	foot runway widths and taxi lanes. What was
5	all that about? For somebody that doesn't
6	really want to expand an airport and likes it
7	the way it is, it seems that this gentleman
8	contradicts himself with everything he says.
9	But that is only my opinion.
10	I will leave the opinion up to you,
11	but I hear a lot of people talking about all
12	kinds of things. I don't know, I hope I am
13	not insulting anyone, but I don't think
14	anyone who followed this for any great length
15	of time, I wish you would probably have had
16	done this, and you will see what really
17	transpired. But anyway, in closing, I leave
18	everything up to you. This is a quality of
19	life issue. It is not how much money you pay
20	in taxes, taxes are going up every day. They
21	are going up everywhere. It is strictly, once
22	again, quality of life and nothing else.
23	You want an airport, you want jets,
24	noise, you want more traffic, it is up to the
25	individual to make the decision.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

108 I support the Board 100 percent to get to the bottom of the situation. It has been going on far too long with Mr. Solberg. Just to close, I have been in aviation for 46 years, and I see what airports do to communities, and you could all do your homework, just go on the internet and look up airports. Thank you very much. MR. PONTEZORBO: My name is Steve Pontezorbo, and it is difficult to cover topics that haven't been covered, but I will say two general comments. I have attended as many of these meetings as possible and, to date, I have yet to hear any suggestion that is better than the bond ordinance. So I suggest, respectfully, anyone that states they oppose it, you have an alternative or solution in its place, and not doing so is not productive for anybody here this evening. The answer is not to wait until expansion is imminent, that will be too late at that point, and that is not to suggest that I don't think expansion is imminent. To those who say the

24 property is not for sale, Solberg said so,25 there are many issues to date. They say one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

109

thing and they act differently. In this case, entering into negotiations in which they suggest millions of dollars for land that is supposedly not for sale. The second point is not only am I in favor of the bond ordinance, and this is not to suggest I don't think it would be valid or just to do so, but I would be willing to pay taxes on twice that amount. I would rather pay higher taxes on an expensive house than lower taxes on an inexpensive house. That is not to suggest that the taxes will go up with the airport expansion or housing, because I believe they will. As the gentleman just previously stated, taxes go up. I would rather pay higher taxes on an expensive house than lower taxes on an inexpensive house. I have no reason to believe that the Solbergs will not expand the airport. I have no reason to believe that will not happen. MAYOR SHAMEY: Next? MR. KRAUSE: My name is Dan Krause,

23 Stoneybridge Stables, One Brook Tree Road,

24 Whitehouse Station.

Ladies and gentlemen, I am not worried

1	about the airport runway expansion, I am not
2	worried about our property values decreasing.
3	In fact, I am scared to death. Everything
4	that everyone in here has worked so hard to
5	begin will be lost. I applaud your efforts
6	and everything you to do to stop this
7	expansion. Thank you.
8	MRS. BELLEK: I am Lisa Bellek, and
9	you will see my street on the map in front of
10	you.
11	First of all, I think it is sad, I
12	know this Committee has tried to educate the
13	community on taxes. The majority of our taxes
14	goes to our school system, and I am happy to
15	say that my two and four year olds should
16	hopefully some day attend these schools,
17	because they are highly rated. So for those
18	of you who are concerned about taxes, I urge
19	you to go to Bridgewater, go to Flemington, go
20	someplace else. By the way, their taxes in
21	those places are even higher than ours.
22	I don't believe if I was to inflate
23	ten big balloons, hot air balloons, that this
24	Township would allow me to do that. If I was
25	to do that, the Solbergs could not land or

1 take off jets. I happen to be at the end of 2 their runway. They would have to use a 3 different runway that crosses in front of the school. So I urge this Committee, please end 4 I have lived here 11 years, I love 5 this. this town, I love what this town stands for. 6 7 I just want this over with. It has just been 8 dragging on too long, and it is costing us 9 more money in the long run. Let's end it, get 10 it over with and move on and maybe then we can 11 handle some of the motel problems that we have 12 on Route 22. Thank you. 13 MR. KALINICH: John Kalinich, I live on Rockford Lane, which is also on the map, 14 15 unfortunately, mislabeled. I am in the way of 16 the flight path. I lived here for 20 years. 17 I came here in 1985, years before the FAA passed any ability for a private airport 18 19 owner, like the Solbergs, to be able to be 20 grandfathered in. That didn't happen until 21 many years later, after Mr. Solberg lobbied 22 Congress. 23 We talked about other scenarios

25

## https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

tonio	ght,	one	that	had	to	do	with	the	bonding	J
that	you	are	tryir	ng to	o do	o to	help	neo	gotiate	an

112 1 agreement with the Solbergs, and the other has 2 to do with a significant and dramatic airport expansion. The Solbergs are in a win/win 3 4 situation. They can accept a generous offer 5 that the Township might be able to make based 6 on the bonding for the \$22 million, and that 7 would be a significant gain for them. 8 Alternatively, they can accept a similar 9 amount of money in FAA grants. The estimate 10 was \$21 million for the expansion back in 1997, which expanded their airport 11 12 significantly. 13 The second alternative, though, would 14 cost the residents dearly. The inverse 15 condemnation that the Solbergs will be 16 imposing upon the property owners of 17 Readington Township, where they will not be 18 compensated, is intolerable. Either way, the 19 Solbergs could win, or one way the remaining 20 citizens will lose, big time. Let's move ahead to a final resolution of this issue. 21 22 Thank you.

117/177

## https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

MRS. JAUNARAJS: My name is Michelle

24	Jaunarajs, and my husband and I own a house at
25	101 Polaski Road, just outside of the yellow

1	area on the piece of paper we all see. I was
2	looking at whether or not our property
3	bordered Lightfield Road.
4	I have heard lots of stuff said before
5	and in the interest of time I will try not to
6	reiterate what was said before. One small
7	fact that struck me in looking at the
8	literature is the hangar size. I want to zero
9	in on that for a minute. Everybody says we
10	will have bigger and bigger and heavier jets
11	and noisier jets. If the jetport goes in,
12	that is. Well, we are talking about a hangar
13	size increase of Mr. Solberg and his family,
14	they would like to increase it from 30,000
15	square feet to 500,000 square feet, if I am
16	reading that right. I don't need to do the
17	math in my head, but the Township is willing
18	to go from 30,000 square feet to 150,000
19	square feet, which is a considerable increase.
20	Now we are talking 500,000. It doesn't have
21	to mean bigger and noisier and louder jets, it

22	could mean lots and lots and lots of smaller
23	planes, which means lots and lots and lots
24	more lights over my house every day somehow or
25	other.

1	I just don't understand how we can be
2	spoon-fed information that there will not be
3	an increase in noise, an increase in safety
4	concerns, an increase in pollution concerns.
5	The increase will support traffic of
6	people coming and going. Some of this may be
7	office space, some of it may be a lovely
8	restaurant, and that would be wonderful, but
9	to go from 30,000 square feet to 500,000
10	square feet and say it will not have an impact
11	on the property value on my home, I am just
12	lost. I can't believe this. I believe
13	everybody would love to look back at our
14	neighbors, the Solberg family and ask humbly
15	if they would speak with us, and if not this
16	evening, at the next meeting, because in
17	speaking with each of them personally, both
18	Lorraine, Suzie and Mr. Solberg, I found them
19	to be very personable on a human level, on a

20	neighborly level.
21	We live down the road from each other,
22	we should be able to talk to each other. But
23	I really, really think if we can get up here a
24	little more often, rather than always have
25	prepared statements in the newspaper that

115

1	people will thrash over for hours and hours of
2	microphone, I would really like to hear from
3	the Solbergs in these proceedings. I commend
4	the Township Committee for making every effort
5	to have these public hearings, but I think we
6	need to go an inch and a half further and try
7	to be sure that the Solberg Aviation family is
8	represented, and also present here to speak to
9	us, too. That is in the interest of
10	negotiating things. I certainly would like to
11	hear from them.
12	I certainly would not want to see my
13	property values go down, I can't afford
14	another nickel in taxes, but I am up against a
15	wall right now. I also don't want to have the
16	value of my house go down. So I would like to
17	hear some more negotiating going on, as
18	opposed to jumping into eminent domain. I

120/177

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

would hate to see my house taken away because

20	someone wants to put up a parking lot for the
21	ball park across the street. I would like to
22	see negotiations carried on. Thank you very
23	much.
24	MAYOR SHAMEY: All the way in the back

25 of the room, is there anybody else, please

1	come forward.
2	MRS. POTENTA: Mary Potenta. I wasn't
3	going to say anything, but I have lived here a
4	long time, over 25 years, and I think you have
5	done a great job as representing me. But I am
6	afraid, I see the anger on people's faces in
7	this room and it makes me afraid. I have
8	never been afraid before. I am almost afraid
9	to speak my mind. I am afraid, I hope this
10	isn't about eminent domain, I hope that it can
11	be fair and honest, because I don't want this
12	to happen to anybody else.
13	MR. BALDWIN: Don Baldwin, 366
14	Readington Road.
15	I agree with that young lady that just
16	spoke, because my family knows the abuse of
17	eminent domain. I was in court for nearly two

18	years with the abuse of another county, so
19	this matter is all too sensitive in my home.
20	I would like to say that I am against
21	the passage of this bond tonight on multiple
22	myriads of reasons, including my tax bill is
23	high enough already. I think that eminent
24	domain is an abuse, and let's shame the devil
25	and tell the truth, tonight's meeting is about

1	eminent domain. The speakers at this podium
2	will not alter the vote tonight, Mr. Shamey
3	spoke about the EPA, the meeting was an
4	insult. It was a fait accompli. This is a
5	fait accompli tonight. I would like to say
6	that if this Committee is so certain and so
7	confident and this Committee is 100 percent
8	behind you, you should have no problem putting
9	this into a referendum before the voters.
10	Thank you.
11	(Applause.)
12	MR. SOLBERG: Thor Solberg.
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: You really need to bear
14	down on the microphone.
15	MR. SOLBERG: My name is Thor Solberg,

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
16	and I am a principal in Solberg Aviation,
17	which owns the property that Solberg Airport
18	is on.
19	I have been here all evening listening
20	to the comments of everyone. It is clear that
21	there are, genuine emotions on both sides of
22	the issue here, and we are very cognizant of
23	those issues.
2.4	When the last bond ordinance was
24	when the last bond ordinance was
25	proposed and the public came and said we don't

1	want you taking this family's property away
2	from them, we want you to work with them to
3	find a solution that we can all live with,
4	the then Mayor Gatti came to me and said,
5	"Let's sit down and talk." And we opened up
6	ourselves to that negotiation, because that is
7	in the best interests of everybody. It is in
8	the best interests of the Solbergs, and it is
9	in the best interests of everybody that lives
10	in town.
11	There was only one premise that I said
12	at the beginning of that negotiation, and that
13	was to strive to find a solution that would
14	allow the airport to provide benefits to the

9/22/2018

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

town, while protecting the town from any undue

		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
-	16	intrusion from the airplanes operating there.
-	17	We talked about a lot of ways that it could be
-	18	done, but we needed to come to an under-
-	19	standing of what was reasonable and what was
2	20	not.
2	21	Obviously, airplanes taking off every
2	22	30 seconds is totally unreasonable. An
2	23	airplane that takes off once a year is well
2	24	within reason. Somewhere between those
2	25	numbers is a reasonable number, and that is a

1	very difficult and somewhat esoteric, I think,
2	program to try to find, and it takes a lot of
3	sound experts, and I talked to Mayor Gatti
4	about retaining such experts. We had a list
5	of experts that had been recommended to them.
6	There were two of them in particular on that
7	list, both of them I agreed were the best in
8	the industry. Neither of them were retained.
9	And the negotiations, after the
10	election, just went downhill. They were no
11	longer interested in talking about measuring
12	the impact of the airport on the community.
13	They were only interested in defining specific

14	limitations. Since we did not have a proposed
15	change in mind, it was very difficult to
16	analyze any impact of what would happen.
17	I have listened to the people tonight,
18	and I apologize if it seems that there was a
19	lot of confusion in what we are saying. There
20	was a Master Plan done ten years ago. In the
21	last ten years nothing has been done to
22	implement that plan, and there is no plan now
23	to do anything to implement that plan. That
24	is why I say that we are not planning any
25	changes, that we are happy with the type of

1	airport that we have right now in the
2	community. I think that we are very proud of
3	being able to offer to everyone in town the
4	opportunity to come to the airport and enjoy
5	the open space that has been there for almost
6	70 years.
7	It is important, and I think Mayor
8	Shamey in a way alluded to it earlier, for
9	everyone to recognize that we can't make a
10	change without a lot of red tape, none of
11	which is in process, and not the least of

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_nearing.html
12	which is the approval of a site plan from
13	Readington Township.
14	Mayor Shamey said that Readington
15	Township has not adopted the State Airport
16	Safety Zoning Act, which means we have a
17	classification as a pre-existing non-
18	conforming use in the zone that we are
19	operating, in a zone that is a residential
20	zone and, therefore, we don't have any rights
21	to do anything unless we get a variance from
22	the Township.
23	People have been talking about \$12
24	million that the FAA has to set aside. With
25	all due respect to Mayor Shamey, that money is

1	not set aside for Solberg Aviation, it is a
2	long document that inventories all of the
3	needs of aviation across the country. And if
4	you added them all up, there would be more
5	need there than the Government could ever fund
6	in the lifetime of myself, my children and
7	their children.
8	There is very little money available
9	in the FAA right now, and the odds of our
10	getting any money for any improvement, if we

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

11	were to apply for it, is rather limited, if
12	almost non-existent. But the important thing,
13	I think, is that we have not applied for it.
14	We have not applied for any money whatsoever.
15	We have not applied for any changes at the
16	airport whatsoever. The only thing we have
17	applied for from a funding point of view is
18	for the New Jersey Division of Aeronautics,
19	and that is to rehabilitate our existing
20	facility, including taxiways, runways which
21	are cracked and to actually pave some of the
22	areas we use, in terms of our taxiways and
23	ramps, so they can be used in wet weather
24	safely.
25	But never any sort of an expansion or

1	addition that would lengthen the runway beyond
2	what it is currently licensed at, 3,735.
3	I am running out of time, I guess.
4	MAYOR SHAMEY: You can go on for a
5	couple more minutes.
6	MR. SOLBERG: I came here to speak not
7	about the airport or its plans, other than to
8	say that we don't have construction plans or
9	anything other than what is there right now.

10	I came to speak about the bond.
11	Before I speak about the bond, though, based
12	on some of the comments I heard this evening,
13	I want to talk about finding a solution.
14	Bob Kalinich made an excellent speech.
15	Ed Dudzinski made some excellent points. My
16	neighbor on Polaski Road made some excellent
17	points. We all live there together. I am
18	part of Solberg Airport, and Solberg Airport
19	has been a resident for many years, and it is
20	true that I did grow up here and I did raise
21	my children here, and Solberg airport has been
22	my life. It is a part of my heart, it is a
23	part of what our father gave to us.
24	So I have a tie with Readington that
25	will never go away, and I would like to find a

1	solution myself. I think that if we went back
2	to the negotiating table and I disagree with
3	the mediator, I could not see a mediator as
4	someone who would establish a solution, they
5	do not establish a solution, they establish a
6	method of solution between the parties, and it
7	is clear from the confusion I hear from the

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
people who speak, that there is a true
misunderstanding of what this negotiation was
all about.
I spoke with someone in the hall
earlier and he looked at Mayor Shamey's
letter, and looked at my letter and said, "How
can these people be in the same room talking
about the same thing, because they are totally
different."
A mediator or party, a facilitator,
maybe Ed Dudzinski, maybe somebody in the
community that everybody trusts, can be the
person that issues the statement and then
there is no confusion. Then there is no
argument about he said/she said, this says, or
someone else said.
But we need time to do that. If we
start talking about solutions that actually
124

1	deal with the problem I appreciate the
2	problem. I grew up on the end of the runway
3	on Polaski Road, and my kids grew up on the
4	end of the runway on Polaski Road and we are
5	here, the airplanes fly over our house all the
6	time, so I know what airplanes sound like, and

9/22/2018

9/22	/201	8
------	------	---

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

I know too many of them are too loud or too

8 much.
9 We are willing and we want to
10 establish some criteria which puts a limit on
11 it, so we know it never will have the
12 intrusion of a Morristown or Teterboro or, God
13 forbid LaGuardia, and that is where we want to

14 go. But there is an impatience that seems to 15 be present to find a solution, and I can

16 appreciate that.

17Many people who spoke tonight in this18room, ourselves included, who have been living19there for a long time, know that for the last

five years we haven't been doing anything.
Everybody has been happy. We want to make
some improvements to the existing facility.
If we, in the future, ever wanted to

24 do anything, we would come to the Town and ask
25 to do it. That has been our position, and I

125

believe that has been very consistent. The
 position ten years ago was that the Master
 Plan needs to be updated. It has never been
 pursued. It is not being pursued now. As far
 as the bond is concerned, it is not needed for

6	us to negotiate in good faith with the Town,
7	it is only needed for condemnation. It is
8	only needed for the use of eminent domain, and
9	I have to say and I believe most people in
10	this town will agree, that eminent domain is
11	fundamentally wrong.
12	I can only think of my dad and how
13	much he loved this country for what it stood
14	for. He would be shocked to think that what
15	he left his children could be taken away from
16	them because of the Government taking it.
17	That is not to mention the cost. I can agree
18	that it is only an extra 50 bucks a year or 60
19	bucks a year, and that is assuming the judge
20	at the end of the whole proceeding says it is
21	22 million. What if the judge says it is 60
22	millon or maybe more, maybe it is okay for an
23	extra \$500 a year, but I beg you not to be so
24	callous. I beg you to understand that we are
25	people who spent a lifetime on a piece of

1	property, and	l we are	willing	to	make	sure	that
2	property does	not hu	rt you.	We	want	to wo	ork
3	with people t	o do th	at.				

5

6

7

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

I would suggest that maybe we have a
meeting where we can be present, all of us,
you knew my sister couldn't be here tonight,
so it really didn't it really wasn't the

8 proper venue to have all the Solbergs present. 9 Maybe we can in a month or two, but let us put 10 together some kind of a program to, basically, 11 in more detail, tell you what I just told you

now, because condemnation is the wrong thing,
and the sad part is that the people of the
town, they do not have an opportunity to voice
their opinion about it. It is only the

16 decision of the Committee. They don't have to 17 seek your referendum, they don't have to seek 18 your approval. There is only one way that the 19 voter can actually determine for themselves

20 the outcome, and that is to petition against 21 the bond ordinance that is passed.

If the people of the town petition the Government to have the right to vote on the bond ordinance, then you will have the right to vote. I urge you that this Committee does

not need to press forward without allowing some time for us to discuss this in a

1

2

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

neighborly way.

I urge everyone to at least petition 4 them to have the opportunity for everyone to 5 speak on the issue, everyone to have a vote, 6 because I think the people in town deserve it. 7 8 Everybody who pays taxes in the town 9 deserves the right on such an enormous 10 acquisition as this airport, such an enormous 11 liability that this would be financially. 12 Everybody in town deserves a right to have a 13 vote on that. 14 But I don't know if you guys want to 15 hold up. 16 MAYOR SHAMEY: I would like to address 17 a couple of things that you said. Are you finished? 18 19 MR. SOLBERG: Yes. I can sit down. 20 MAYOR SHAMEY: Mr. Solberg, one thing 21 I want to make clear to you, you said we don't 22 need a bond ordinance to negotiate. Well, 23 keep in mind that we still have to pass an 24 ordinance to move forward with the eminent 25 domain. You asked for good faith, and you

2	in our dealings with you, and you show us
3	none. What are we to do with letters to the
4	editor and to the public? We are not
5	proposing extending the runway. August, the
6	majority of the residents, residents like
7	things the way they are, we have no intention
8	of doing anything to hurt Readington or damage
9	their special quality of life. November 2nd,
10	it is unfortunate some of us have used the
11	airport to drive us apart.
12	August 22nd in a transcript from a
13	public hearing, "Keeping the property in green
14	and open would be a cornerstone in our future
15	negotiations with the Committee. We are
16	determined to make sure no development
17	occurs."
18	It is time, with all due respect, for
19	an honesty check. It is time for you to tell
20	the residents of Readington exactly what you
21	would like to do. You say there is no money
22	available, this is only a planning document,
23	and I said that. I know that it is only a
24	planning document.
25	MR. SOLBERG: I heard you say that.

1	MAYOR SHAMEY: You haven't applied for
2	anything, yet you did get on the brink of
3	approval in the environmental assessment
4	process. You want consideration from us, and
5	respect, and honesty, and good faith and you
6	show us none. You laugh at us. Enough is
7	enough.
8	So you must start with some honesty,
9	okay. You have to do us a favor and please
10	stop insulting us with these push-polls.
11	(Applause.)
12	MAYOR SHAMEY: Your push-poll, I
13	probably would answer to every question on it.
14	You see me ride my bike at your airport, I
15	love it over there, I love the airport and the
16	Balloon Festival, I would vote yes on probably
17	all of these. Well, not all of them, but
18	please stop insulting us with the push-polls,
19	they are a joke.
20	A VOICE: And you don't
21	MAYOR SHAMEY: Please don't yell out
22	from the audience. Please don't do that.
23	Now, you talked about no money being
24	available. This is only a blank document, but
25	what you didn't talk about is what we want,

130 and the problem we are faced with is this, I 1 2 haven't any reason to believe Mr. Gatti and Ms. Allen came back to this Committee and made 3 4 up what happened at these negotiation 5 sessions. I believe what they told me, okay, and what they told me, and you can confirm 6 7 this or deny it right now, is that your 8 advisor, and you started out saying 5,600 feet or nothing else. Then you slipped back to 9 10 5,000. What I am here to ask you tonight is 11 did you or did you not say in the course of 12 these negotiations anything less than 5,000 13 feet on the runway is a no go? 14 MR. SOLBERG: I did not say that. 15 MAYOR SHAMEY: I am not finished yet. 16 You opened another door. Mr. Berger on the 17 phone to me --18 MR. SOLBERG: You want me to talk? 19 MAYOR SHAMEY: I will give you a 20 chance to respond to me, but I am not finished 21 yet. MR. SOLBERG: It will be a lot easier 22 23 for me since I am older than you to respond 24 one at a time than all the way at the end. 25 MAYOR SHAMEY: Let me ask the

1 question. Do you contend Mr. Gatti and Ms. 2 Allen lied to this Committee when they said 3 that was your position? MR. SOLBERG: No. 4 5 MAYOR SHAMEY: Did you or did you not say you wanted a 5,000 foot runway and nothing 6 7 else? MR. SOLBERG: May I explain? 8 9 MAYOR SHAMEY: No, you can say yes or 10 no. 11 A VOICE: Give him a chance to 12 explain. 13 MAYOR SHAMEY: Don't shout out from 14 the audience. And just answer my question. 15 MR. SOLBERG: Am I on trial here? 16 MAYOR SHAMEY: Answer the question yes 17 or no. MR. SOLBERG: This is the exact reason 18 19 there should be a third party, an impartial 20 party because, obviously, there is a 21 misunderstanding here. 22 MAYOR SHAMEY: No, there is mistrust 23 here. 24 A VOICE: Who is Larry Berger? 25 MAYOR SHAMEY: You had conditional

1	approval, that was ready to go, but for the
2	environmental assessment, the only thing
3	standing in the way between the expansion and
4	that was the final checking off of the
5	approval of the DOT. So what are we to do?
6	MR. SOLBERG: That is not correct,
7	sir.
8	MAYOR SHAMEY: How is it not correct?
9	MR. SOLBERG: All we had was an
10	approved Master Plan document, a planning
11	document, not a construction document. It is
12	not available for construction until you do
13	the engineering and get environmental
14	approvals and site plan approvals from DOT,
15	the plans from the FAA, years of work. We are
16	nowhere near it and we haven't even started.
17	MAYOR SHAMEY: But that is what you
18	want to do, right? What do you want to do?
19	MR. SOLBERG: I don't know what we
20	want to do. You are forcing me to say
21	something.
22	MAYOR SHAMEY: It is unfortunate that
23	someone used the airport to drive us apart.
24	MR. SOLBERG: You are doing a very

133 1 MAYOR SHAMEY: Quite frankly, you are 2 doing a great job of it, and maybe you are having fun, I don't know, but I am not having 3 fun anymore. It is time to move this thing 4 forward, and it is time for you to get honest. 5 MR. SOLBERG: I have been honest. 6 7 MAYOR SHAMEY: No, you haven't. You haven't been honest, you say one thing to the 8 9 papers and the residents and another thing in 10 here. 11 MR. SOLBERG: List them again, check 12 them off one at a time. 13 MAYOR SHAMEY: No, I won't. Enough is 14 enough. 15 MR. SOLBERG: Everything you read was 16 true, everything I said is true. 17 MAYOR SHAMEY: Okay, hold on a second, 18 because I have a good one for you. You told 19 the Courier News you have no plan or intention 20 to extend the runway. Is that true? 21 MR. SOLBERG: That is what I said to 22 you tonight. 23 MAYOR SHAMEY: That is true, you don't 24 want to extend the runway?25 MR. SOLBERG: We don't want to do it

1	today.
2	MAYOR SHAMEY: That is all. Now we
3	know you want to expand the runway, but not
4	today. We are making some progress here.
5	MR. SOLBERG: I didn't say I was going
6	to expand the runway.
7	A VOICE: How long?
8	MR. SOLBERG: That is up to future
9	generations to decide. How can you make
10	judgments of what people will want to do 30
11	years from today?
12	MR. AURIEMMA: If I can interject and
13	say something, at the February 6th meeting
14	Mrs. Nagle said that we don't want to expand
15	the airport in the near future, within the
16	next couple of years. So that is not 30 years
17	from now.
18	MR. SOLBERG: You are missing the
19	point. The point is that any future request,
20	any addition like anyone else in town, will
21	have to come back to you to analyze whether or
22	not that addition would have an adverse

23	impact, and if it doe	s, it won't happen.
24	MAYOR SHAMEY:	You know that statement
25	is less than accurate	. You know that. Once

135 1 you take Federal money --2 MR. SOLBERG: Nobody is taking Federal 3 money. MAYOR SHAMEY: How will you expand it? 4 5 We are not paying for it. 6 MR. SOLBERG: We are not expanding. 7 MAYOR SHAMEY: Here is where this 8 Committee, where the people say this is a rush 9 and so forth, this is not a rush, this has 10 gone on long enough. It is not a rash 11 decision. 12 MR. SOLBERG: I didn't expect you not 13 to do what you are going to do tonight because, as someone pointed out, I thought it 14 15 was Bud -- but this really isn't a public 16 hearing to listen to people. 17 MAYOR SHAMEY: Sure it is. 18 MR. SOLBERG: No, it is a requirement 19 of the law, because you already decided to 20 vote the bond in prior to the hearing, and

21	that is what you said.
22	MAYOR SHAMEY: I did? Unless you came
23	in here and did something miraculous, I knew
24	walking in here I would vote yes. I don't
25	know about the rest of the Committee, but I

1	knew I was.
2	MR. SOLBERG: I have to say to the
3	people of this town that the only reason for
4	this bond ordinance is for you to proceed with
5	eminent domain.
6	MAYOR SHAMEY: Maybe it will make you
7	know that we are serious.
8	MR. SOLBERG: I know you are serious.
9	MAYOR SHAMEY: Treat us with some
10	respect.
11	(Applause.)
12	MR. SOLBERG: I am trying.
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: You are not doing a
14	good job with what you tell the press and the
15	residents, you have to get honest.
16	MR. SOLBERG: I am honest with you, we
17	have nothing on the drawing board to do, and
18	there is no Federal money coming that will
19	change or, in any way, hurt your position. We

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

this point the ball is in your court. If you

20	are willing, as we said at the beginning of
21	the last negotiation, not to do anything to
22	prejudice your position. You don't do
23	anything to prejudice our position.
24	MAYOR SHAMEY: Here is the deal, at

137

1 have anything to present to the Committee, you 2 have been talking about it for months or 3 years. 4 MR. SOLBERG: Let's have a meeting. 5 MAYOR SHAMEY: We had a meeting, you want to send some information in, send it in. 6 7 MR. GATTI: First of all, I would like to say that tonight's bond ordinance is only 8 9 authorization for us to go ahead and put the money up, put the money where our mouth is. 10 11 There will be no tax increase unless the bond 12 is actually drawn down which, as I said 13 earlier, I am still hopeful we can come to an agreement and, Thor, I am willing to meet with 14 15 you again and continue the process. As Gerry 16 said, it is the next step in the negotiation 17 process. Then, if this is not a matter of the

bond to acquire the airport, that would

19	require another action on behalf of this
20	Committee. We went on the record tonight
21	saying we didn't want to buy the airport, we
22	are still seeking the common ground. We
23	started to talk about it last August, and that
24	is the open space around the airport. Now we
25	are faced with, and I have to tell you, Thor,

1	I didn't say you said to me 5,600. Five
2	thousand, that is what you said.
3	MR. SOLBERG: We talked about a lot of
4	things, it was all in the context of negotia-
5	tions, not a proposal.
6	MR. GATTI: I agree, I would walk away
7	and say maybe it is posturing on their behalf.
8	What is the story? But the point is I was
9	willing to go on until Larry Berger said to
10	me, "You are wasting my time, negotiations are
11	over." What am I to do with that statement?
12	I said, "Let's talk", and he said he doesn't
13	want to talk, he wasn't interested. So I am
14	willing now, I am going on the record to say
15	tomorrow or Saturday, the schedule is tough,
16	maybe Sunday, I would like to sit down and

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
17	Suzie is on holiday, but I am willing to go
18	back and start talking again, because I think
19	the next step is more formalized negotiations.
20	MR. SOLBERG: Let me tell you the
21	danger of that, and I say this to everybody
22	out here. Whether you agree with what the
23	Committee wants to do or whether you don't
0.4	
24	agree with what the Committee wants to do, you
25	should at least agree that the people in town

1	have the right to decide whether to take out a
2	\$22 million home equity loan.
3	MR. GATTI: You had no problem asking
4	for \$36 million. If I said we agree to the
5	5,000 feet and \$36,000 million, would you be
6	standing here?
7	MR. SOLBERG: The people in the town
8	have the right to voice their opinion on
9	whether or not to take out a \$22 million home
10	equity loan, as Mr. Gatti calls it. The only
11	way people in this town will have a right to
12	vote is if they petition this Committee to get
13	that right, and I urge everybody in town to
14	participate in the petition, so at least we
15	know that the people in town are establishing

9/22/2018

16	the money.
17	A VOICE: Can I ask a question? If it
18	goes to public vote, will you do that?
19	MR. SOLBERG: We are talking about the
20	bond issue?
21	A VOICE: Will you put in writing that
22	you won't expand?
23	MR. SOLBERG: It is good to have the
24	colloquy.
25	MAYOR SHAMEY: I understand.

1	It is time to call the question.
2	MR. AURIEMMA: Mr. Solberg, your
3	sister, Suzanne, wrote a letter. Would you
4	like to read it into the record?
5	MR. SOLBERG: Okay. This is a letter
6	written by my sister, Suzanne, to the Township
7	Committee, and it is dated February 16th.
8	"As explained to you at our last
9	Township meeting when I pleaded with you to
10	postpone the second reading of your proposed
11	bond ordinance, I will regretfully not be in
12	attendance. I am taking your suggestion and
13	submitting a letter instead. You are confused
14	about your reasoning for the bond ordinance.

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

"Last year you withdrew the bond

16	ordinance so that we could negotiate in good
17	faith. Now, in February, you are stating you
18	need to pass this very same bond ordinance so
19	that we can negotiate in good faith. We
20	believe the bond ordinance is totally
21	unnecessary. There is not a property in
22	Readington Township that does not consider you
23	to be a qualified buyer with financial
24	reimbursements which you stated it is in this
25	case. Readington Township" there are a lot

1	of typos here, I am not sure what this says
2	I am trying to read this. "Readington
3	Township has a longstanding history of
4	purchasing land, either through development
5	rights or fee simple. We agree with what Paul
6	Sauerland said last fall, the real reason for
7	the bond ordinance is to put you one step
8	closer to condemning our property. This bond
9	ordinance is not necessary any more than the
10	hiring of legal counsel that specializes in
11	eminent domain." I am speaking of Mr.
12	Rhatican who, I see, walked away. There hs

9/22/	2018
-------	------

13	is, in the back.
14	"The professed purpose of sitting down
15	as neighbors and talking seems to" I will
16	stop with that paragraph. The word
17	"negotiate" by Webster's Dictionary means to
18	confer and/or discuss a need to reach an
19	agreement. "We need to focus on the view to
20	reach an agreement. With outside professional
21	help, we are confident we can negotiate
22	successfully.
23	"As you admitted, the citizens of
24	Readington Township do not want you to condemn
25	our land. They are adamantly opposed to

1	taking private property and know the tax
2	burden associated with such an adverse action.
3	Please inform the public which specific
4	sources you intend to seek reimbursement from
5	if you use eminent domain. We understand
6	these entities are limited and may require a
7	willing buyer/willing seller relationship as a
8	prerequisite to this. Real estate taxes in
9	Readington Township are high enough, without
10	adding tens of millions of dollars of more
11	debt.

12	"Moreover, you are premature. You are
13	in the process of passing a bond ordinance for
14	roughly \$22 million, and from what it actually
15	is, the DOT has an appraisal, and very quickly
16	can arrive at the value of \$18.5 million.
17	Your two appraisals were at nine million, the
18	NJ DOT recognized in April of '02 that this
19	number was not accurate and adjusted the
20	number upward of 22 million, which was as far
21	as it comfortably could go. The agreement on
22	the sale called for another appraisal for that
23	purpose. The last appraisal in May of '03 was
24	\$42 million, and that is almost three years
25	ago. Again, every property owner in

1	Readington knows land value has increased
2	considerably over the last few years. Please
3	reconsider and table this bond ordinance.
4	There is a solution out there as both the
5	Hunterdon Review and Courier News editorials
6	suggests. We need to seek the help of a
7	professional negotiator if we focus on the
8	issues in our positions I am certain we can
9	reach a compromise that we both can embrace.
10	Thank you for your consideration, Suzanne

https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html

Solberg Nagle."

12A VOICE: Gentlemen, ladies, I13respectfully call for action on the ordinance.14MAYOR SHAMEY: We are asking you to15wrap it up, Mr. Solberg.

16 MR. SOLBERG: I have one thought, to 17 remind everyone of and Mr. Rhatican can talk 18 about this a lot better than I can, but once 19 the Town decides to go down the route of

20 condemnation, there is no longer any control 21 that anyone has on the value of the property. 22 It will be determined by the Court. Once that 23 value is determined, the Township is obligated 24 to pay it, and if it ends up at 60 million 25 instead of 20 million, that means the Town is

144

1	obligated. Right now with the \$22 million
2	bond, we are almost at 75 percent bonding
3	capacity. If that number were to double, we
4	would be at 100 percent bonding capacity.
5	There is no room in the law to fix the crack
6	in the road, and it is not necessary. It is
7	an expense that is not necessary. I again
8	urge everyone to give the right to your

150/177

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
9	neighbors to have a vote in this, because I am
10	not confident that everybody in town wants to
11	spend even 22 million to take this property
12	away from us. Thank you.
13	MR. RHATICAN: Can I respond to the
14	point?
15	MAYOR SHAMEY: Certainly.
16	MR. RHATICAN: Mr. Solberg mentioned
17	the factor, he opined on the fact that once
18	eminent domain goes to the Court, you lose
19	control, is what he said, about the amount
20	paid for the property or the value to be paid
21	for the property. I want to make two points
22	so everyone understands, including the members
23	of the Committee, understands the process a
24	little bit better.
25	First of all, when an eminent domain

145
1 matter goes to a court for the issue of value,
2 it is left to a jury. I think it was
3 mentioned earlier that a judge decides what
4 the value might be. If there is a dispute
5 among the parties, first it goes to what is
6 called a Commissioners' hearing, and a panel
7 of three Commissioners is appointed by the

9/22/2018

8	Court to hear proofs from both sides. The
9	panel of three Commissioners consists
10	typically of two attorneys and a local real
11	estate broker. Generally, the attorneys are
12	real estate attorneys familiar with the
13	process. That Commissioner, that panel or
14	Commissioners' panel will make a decision as
15	to valuation. If one of the parties then has
16	an opportunity to appeal from that, and
17	ultimately if there is an appeal, it will go
18	to a jury and the jury decides the value.
19	There is a provision in the Eminent Domain Act
20	that, in certain circumstances, it permits a
21	condemning authority to go through that
22	process and figure out what it would have to
23	pay for the property, and if it deems that it
24	is too expensive, it can back out at that
25	point. It doesn't need to proceed with the

146

eminent domain or the taking if, in fact, it
 is determined that the evaluation exceeds what
 the party wishes to pay or the amount the
 condemning party thinks is reasonable for the
 property.
 I wanted to make sure that that was

7 clear for everybody. 8 MAYOR SHAMEY: Thank you. 9 MR. SIMON: Good evening, my name is 10 Mike Simon, S-I-M-O-N, 22 Witherspoon Street, 11 Whitehouse Station. 12 My background, I have 27 years in 13 aviation, including 13 years in the Orlando 14 Aviation Authority as senior project planner 15 and director. I helped build and design 16 (inaudible). I am a member of Triple A 17 Association of Airport Executives, I am also a 18 member of ELTHAB Aircraft Owners and Pilots 19 Association, and also have some stick time as 20 a pilot. I have flown in and out of Solberg 21 many times. I like the airport, I love 22 aviation. 23 I listened to Mr. Solberg for 25 24 minutes, and I didn't hear anything. I heard 25 words. I saw lips moving. But to respond

1	directly to the issue at hand, I heard
2	semantics.
3	The yardstick for measuring the
4	matrix, the unit of measurement to determine

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
5	the solution for this problem is very simple,
5	if you want jets, allow a runway longer than
7	3,800 feet. That is about it. Anything else
3	that goes on at the airport will be generated
)	from the business of the use of a runway of
)	less than 3,800 feet. Let the market drive
-	that.
2	Also, in the future, as Mr. Solberg
3	says, he can't commit to, as aircraft become
ł	more and more efficient, it will need longer

15 runways. There is one purpose in having a

16 longer runway, and that is to have jets.
17 Every single distance prop aircraft that
18 exists today on the planet can take off on
19 3,700 feet of runway. Maybe not with full

20 fuel for all of them, but the majority can.
21 I listened to the semantical
22 statements, I didn't want it developed, but I
23 want 500,000 square feet of development. I
24 don't want to expand, but my Master Plan I put
25 in has an independent goal of 5,600 foot

1	runways.	And by	the v	way, 1	I have	to	have	a
2	runway pr	rotection	n zone	e in H	Hillcre	est	Park,	SO
3	the Towns	hip need	ds to	give	that t	to n	ne in	an

4	easement; otherwise, the FAA will try to work
5	something out where I get to use it anyway.
6	There are lots of details. If you
7	want to narrow it down, the runway stays less
8	than 3,800 feet if you don't want jets. In
9	the future, if aircraft manufacturers create
10	aircraft that are jets and can still operate
11	on 3,800 square feet, you have still done your
12	job for today. You have protected the
13	community, the residents, the values and the
14	type of lifestyle that we all came here for.
15	Whether you have been here 40 years or four
16	years, it is irrelevant. The issue is here,
17	the issue is now, it is not a hypothetical of
18	why, what if. Please pass the referendum.
19	MR. FISCHER: My name is Morty
20	Fischer, I was not going to come up here
21	tonight, I wanted to listen really to both
22	sides, and I want to thank Thor for coming up
23	tonight, because I was hoping to hear from the
24	family. I know they didn't have the entire
25	family represented here tonight, but I know it

149

1 2

I have been following this for many

wasn't easy coming up here.

 $https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg\_public\_hearing.html$ 

years, for as many years as I can remember

4	living here, since at least the last 13 years,
5	and I think you have a very unique opportunity
6	here right now, probably today was one of the
7	biggest hurdles with both the Township and
8	Thor publicly speaking. It might be a little
9	unorthodox, and I would like to see more of
10	that. I think it eliminates some of the he
11	said/she said opportunities. Perhaps instead
12	of mediators, we have both parties negotiate
13	in public on this situation.
14	The biggest issue I think that came
15	out tonight is it is not an issue of today
16	that is on the table, it is an issue of
17	tomorrow. I think a lot of people share my
18	feeling that we would like to see some type of
19	finality in the agreement. I appreciate the
20	legacy the Solberg family has with the airport
21	in the community, but at the same time, all of
22	the homeowners share the same concern of
23	balancing increasing taxes and the cost of
24	this bond with the loss or potential loss of
25	future property values.

1	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
1	I do have one question with regard to
2	the bond itself, it being \$22 million: If
3	again you have the opportunity, I hope that
4	the family will continue to negotiate and show
5	the Township that they do mean what they say
6	about not expanding. Again, I would like to
7	see some negotiating, but if negotiations were
8	to exceed the \$22 million bond, what does that
9	do to negotiations, and what would happen with
10	any additional amounts? Would that have to go
11	to additional bonds on that?
12	MR. RHATICAN: Yes.
13	MR. AURIEMMA: You have to wrap it up.
14	MR. FISCHER: I will. I understand
15	right now that Thor is suggesting that you
16	keep the airport small, and I hope that we can
17	come to some kind of mutual grounds. I don't
18	believe mediation or having a mediation or
19	going out to referendum is the answer. I have
20	read through the documents, but sometimes
21	there is a middle ground and in some issues
22	there is a middle ground. I understand this.
23	So anyway, thank you again for coming up, and
24	I do applaud the Township Committee and I
25	appreciate the information you are putting on

	151
1	the website.
2	(Applause.)
3	MR. BRIGHTWELL: James Brightwell,
4	Pleasant Run Road.
5	Mr. Solberg had an opportunity tonight
6	to provide residents with concrete,
7	unambiguous assurances that he would not
8	expand the airport. He did not do so. His
9	words were, and I wrote them down, I believe
10	that he said, "The Master Plan has not been
11	pursued and is not being pursued now." He
12	chose his words very carefully. He didn't say
13	he will not do it in the future, he is not
14	entering into a contractual obligation to do
15	that. I'd like to encourage the Committee to
16	take whatever steps it can for the residents,
17	and the property values, and the quality of
18	life in Readington that a future expansion of
19	the airport would undertake. Readington
20	residents aren't stupid, they can understand
21	to a great degree the processes that are
22	required to expand the airport and, although
23	the Master Plan does not ticket getting money,
24	we see over and over again across the country
25	how these airports have expanded by going

152 1 through this process, and within a year or 2 two, they have a Master Plan and funding from 3 Congress under the transportation bill and it is expanded. So I would like to encourage the 4 Committee to proceed forward, and I think Mr. 5 6 Solberg made his intentions quite clear 7 tonight. I would like you to go ahead and 8 pass the ordinance and protect our way of 9 life. 10 (Applause.) MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anybody else 11 12 in the back who wishes to be heard? You have 13 to make it brief, you already spoke. 14 MR. DUDZINSKI: Ed Dudzinski. I 15 appreciate Mr. Gatti's offer to have 16 discussions, and I also thank Thor for coming 17 here and speaking. It was very informative. 18 I thank him for his offer to have the meeting again in a month or month and a half with his 19 20 sisters, but I ask Thor a specific question, as a sign of good faith, will you agree to 21 22 stop using Larry Berger to communicate with 23 Readington Township? 24 MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anything 25 further? Are there any further comments from

153 the public on the bond ordinance? I don't see 1 2 any. 3 Anyone on the Committee have anything to say? 4 5 MR. GATTI: I would like to read Section 3, to point out what this bond is. It 6 7 says it hereby authorizes for the purpose of financing, why bonds are to be used, is for 8 9 the acquisition of or conservation restrictions and/or development rights on a 10 11 portion of certain land and improvements owned 12 or controlled by, and designated on the 13 Official Tax Map as Block 48, Lot 23, Block 55, Lot 33, Block 56, Lots 1, 3, 6 and 8, 14 15 Block 39, Lot 24 and Block 67, Lot 2, owner 16 Solberg Aviation/Hromoho. 17 So regardless of having the agreement 18 at this point in time, or coming out months 19 from now with an agreement, we will need an 20 ordinance of this type. There was a comment 21 made earlier that if the bond is not used, 22 what would happen to the bond. Will we go 23 have a party in Atlantic City or something? 24 No. It can only be used for this. If it is 25 not used, specifically, for this reason, then

154 1 the bond is just retired. 2 There was a question earlier about 3 reimbursement of \$17 million, and is it committed when you talk about debt. I know we 4 5 have got reimbursement, too. If you talk about the 17 million, the commitment on 17 6 7 million, do we have commitments on the 17 million reimbursement on the debt. 8 9 MS. ALLEN: I think all but a million 10 and a half of that is allocated at the present 11 time on line items out of the Garden State 12 Preservation Trust. One and a half million is 13 revenue that will be generated from the sale 14 of deed restricted properties. 15 MR. GATTI: So basically, we have a line item in Garden State Preservation Trust, 16 17 which is for Readington Township, and another 18 17 million and one and a half million by way 19 of these auctions you may have seen the 20 Township holds form time to time. is that 21 correct? 22 MS. ALLEN: That is correct. 23 MR. GATTI: Okay. Someone mentioned

24

statistically about the letters being

## available from the Mayor's e-mail. Last year

155

I was overwhelmed by a large amount of 1 2 letters. I don't know if they are still available or printed out on the website 3 somewhere. 4 MAYOR SHAMEY: I can speak to that. 5 MR. GATTI: But Tom has done an 6 analysis on the number of letters, they are 7 8 overwhelmingly to protect the quality of life 9 in Readington and the environment. 10 The only other thing I would like to 11 add is that informational meeting was 12 discussed back in December with Solbergs 13 publicly, they said no at that time and 14 negotiations broke off. On January 5th I did mention to Suzie Nagle Solberg that there 15 16 would be a meeting and they were invited. 17 They couldn't prepare in time. Here it is 45 18 days later and they still haven't an 19 informational meeting with the public. That 20 would be a helpful process, and I also, at 21 that time, committed the Township Committee 22 and experts to go, and we did discuss it at 23 this group, but the point is that we have been

162/177

24	accused	of	not	being	fair	in	the	proc	cess	3,	the
25	process	has	bee	n very	/ fair	<b>^</b> .	I t]	hink	we	ha	ve

1	done a fair job communicating to the public
2	the events that were taking place, and being
3	told this is rhetoric, I am just not happy
4	with it.
5	MR. AURIEMMA: Some of the questions,
6	one was the schools. I hear over and over
7	again that they had no business building the
8	schools here, but I took the dates from the
9	State of New Jersey Department of Education,
10	and this is the breakdown as to how the
11	schools came about being here. '62, the
12	District's Master Plan included the building
13	of schools, both schools, that means Holland
14	Brook. That was 1962. In 1991, that was the
15	first proposal to build Holland Brook School.
16	In 1996, a referendum was passed to construct
17	Holland Brook School. In September 1997, that
18	was the Airport Master Plan that was
19	published. My point is that the school was
20	planned long before the Master Plan came out.
21	As far as the e-mails are concerned, I

22	read most of the e-mails. I'm sorry, all of
23	the e-mails in 2005 and most in 2006. These
24	were unsolicited e-mails. I counted 150
25	e-mails received, 125 were requesting no

157

1	airport expansion and the other 25, that they
2	were not opposed to the airport expansion.
3	A gentleman here spoke about what
4	opportunities are there for the insiders. I
5	have to say I am insulted by that statement.
6	I could speak for the members here, I don't
7	think there is any opportunity here for the
8	Township Committee to prosper from this.
9	Another comment was made that the
10	airport was here longer than most of us. I
11	think the point is it is not how long they
12	were here, the question is how long we want to
13	stay here. Thank you.
14	MAYOR SHAMEY: Any other comments?
15	MS. ALLEN: I would like to say that
16	Readington Township has a long history over
17	two decades of preserving open space as a way
18	of protecting farmland, wildlife habitats,
19	water quality, the historic character, and I

think protecting the airport. There is a

21	reason to preserve open space in our township.
22	This bond, if passed, and if an acquisition
23	were to move forward, would be used
24	principally to purchase 650 acres of open
25	space. Centrally located in our township and

1	extremely important to the character of our
2	township. The preservation of this open space
3	would be important to protecting the airport
4	itself and the viability of that airport.
5	I think it would be in the Township's
6	best interests to protect that, and we work as
7	hard as we can to come up with an amicable
8	solution and negotiated settlement, and I am
9	committed to that.
10	This preservation of this open space
11	is supported by our Master Plan, the natural
12	resource and conservation elements in
13	particular, and also by the Master Plan of the
14	County and the State of New Jersey. So for
15	that reason, I am in favor of passing this
16	bond, because it takes us one step closer to
17	the goal of furthering this effort.
18	MAYOR SHAMEY: Beatrice?
19	MRS. MUIR: I just want to say that I

20	think the bond issue is an important step in
21	moving forward with the negations. After
22	listening to Mr. Solberg tonight, I have lost
23	complete faith in anything that he has ever
24	written or anything that he has ever said.
25	The people I work with on the Township

1	Committee work all the time on the issues that
2	affect this township, that affect our quality
3	of life, our taxes, our roads and affects our
4	schools. They do it because they love this
5	community.
6	I think that I was elected by a
7	majority of the people in this township to
8	hold the line, to stay steady. I don't like
9	eminent domain, I never will like eminent
10	domain, but we are not talking eminent domain
11	right now, we are talking, hopefully, that we
12	will come to a resolution. But I have got to
13	tell you that I have lived here for 36 years.
14	I moved here in 1970, and I came in at the end
15	of the jetport and the Solbergs called it a
16	jetport in those days. I followed it to the
17	present day. In the late 1990s, Mr. Thor

18	Solberg, I have the quotes at home, said that
19	"I want to be a reliever airport. I want
20	Solberg Airport to be a reliever airport. I
21	want it to be like Morristown." And then when
22	he said this evening there are no plans to
23	extend the runway now, I will leave it to
24	future generations, I think that we all live
25	in fear of exactly what that might mean. I am

160

1	prepared to vote to go forward with this bond
2	issue this evening.
3	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anything
4	further form the Committee? I have a couple
5	of other things: I got my answer tonight.
6	When I asked you whether or not you wanted, do
7	you or do you not want to expand the runway,
8	which you said to the Courier News, you said
9	not now. I got my answer, it was yes. Thank
10	you. Now I got my answer.
11	The other thing is you know there has
12	been a lot of question why the rush, why do we
13	need to do that now. That is an easy question
14	for me, because this is not a rush decision.
15	This has been going on for decades. There

have been months of negotiations, regional

17	jetports are a lot, they are growing, they are
18	everywhere, they are increasing Morristown and
19	Teterboro and stretching them to the limit.
20	You've got 90 to 95 percent through your
21	environmental process, and we are on the brink
22	of this happening. The only thing that
23	stopped this from happening, from you getting
24	what you wanted was, and I know there are
25	additional approvals after that, but it would

1	all come to fruition, the only thing that
2	stopped it was the Township going for eminent
3	domain. There is nothing in the market for
4	developments of this, I disagree with that, I
5	think if they overflow Morristown and
6	Teterboro, it is ready to go. So I have been
7	doing this about eight years, and I don't
8	intend to sit idly by just to see what
9	happens. I don't think we will like the
10	outcome. I don't, and I would never support
11	bringing at this point some third party
12	mediator to do our responsibilities. At least
13	not on my watch, not on our watch. I have
14	been elected to preserve the quality of life
15	that we have here, and I intend on doing my

16	job.
17	We do have the right to control our
18	own destiny, and this is our township.
19	(Applause.)
20	MAYOR SHAMEY: With that, is there a
21	motion to close the public hearing and reopen
22	the hearing, the regular hearing?
23	MS. ALLEN: So moved.
24	MS. MUIR: Second.
25	MAYOR SHAMEY: I said is there a

1	motion to close the public hearing and reopen
2	the regular meeting. There was a motion and a
3	second. May I continue?
4	MS. MEKOVETZ: Yes.
1	
5	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a motion on
6	Ordinance No. 04-2006? Let's do this again.
7	Is there a motion to close the public hearing
0	
8	and reopen the regular meeting?
9	MR. AURIEMMA: So moved.
10	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a second?
11	MS. ALLEN: Second.
12	MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor, say aye.
13	(On roll call, all members voted in

14	
± 1	the affirmative.)
15	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a motion on
16	Ordinance 04-2006?
ΤO	Ordinance 04-2008?
17	MR. AURIEMMA: I make a motion to
1.0	adapt Oudinance 04 2000
18	adopt Ordinance 04-2006.
19	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a second?
20	MS ALLEN. There is a second
20	
21	MAYOR SHAMEY: Roll call.
22	(On roll call all members woted in
22	(On TOTT Call, all members voted in
23	the affirmative.)
24	MAYOR SHAMEY: Ladies and gentlemen,
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
25	that will conclude this public hearing.
22 23 24	(On roll call, all members voted in the affirmative.) MAYOR SHAMEY: Ladies and gentlemen,

163

1	Let me get my agenda back again and
2	get to the public hearings. We have concluded
3	Public Hearing No. 1 and we were on Public
4	Hearing No. 2. This is a public hearing on
5	Ordinance 01-2006, an ordinance to provide for
6	the acceptance of a stream corridor protection
7	easement and a right-of-way easement for
8	roadway purposes from Mary T. Lee, Block 12,
9	Lot 12, and new Lot 12.02 in the Township of
10	Readington, County of Hunterdon and State of
11	New Jersey.

Is there a motion to open the matter

13	for a public hearing?
14	Is there a motion to close the public
15	hearing?
16	MR. AURIEMMA: So moved.
17	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a second?
18	MS. ALLEN: Yes.
19	MAYOR SHAMEY: Public comment on
20	Ordinance 01-2006? There being none, is there
21	a motion to close the public hearing and
22	reopen the regular meeting?
23	MR. AURIEMMA: So moved.
24	MS. MUIR: Second.
25	MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor, say aye.

1	(On roll call, all members voted in
2	the affirmative.)
3	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a motion on
4	Ordinance 01-2006?
5	MS. MUIR: Yes, I will make a motion.
6	MR. AURIEMMA: Second.
7	MAYOR SHAMEY: Roll call.
8	(On roll call, all members voted in
9	the affirmative.)
10	MAYOR SHAMEY: Where is Mr. Gatti?
11	MS. MEKOVETZ: He stepped out of the

12	room.
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: Everybody else voted
14	yes, okay.
15	Next is Public Hearing No. 03-2006, an
16	ordinance authorizing the conveyance of a fee
17	simple interest in property known as Lot 15,
18	Lots 5, 27 and 29, to the New Jersey
19	Department of Environmental Protection under
20	the Green Acres Program.
21	Is there a motion to open this matter
22	to the public?
23	MS. ALLEN: So moved.
24	MR. AURIEMMA: Second.
25	MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor.

1	(On roll call, all members voted in
2	the affirmative.)
3	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anything from
4	the public on this matter?
5	Is there a motion to close the public
6	hearing?
7	(Whereupon, the public hearing was
8	closed.)
9	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a motion to

10	accept this ordnance 03-2006?
11	MR. AURIEMMA: Yes.
12	MS. MUIR: Second.
13	MAYOR SHAMEY: Roll call.
14	(On roll call, all members voted in
15	the affirmative.)
16	MAYOR SHAMEY: And Ordinance No. 02-
17	2006, an ordiannce to provide for the
18	acceptance of an easement dedicated on a
19	portion of Block 94, Lot 6, for drainage
20	purposes in Lazy Brook Road, in the Township
21	of Readington, County of Hunterdon and State
22	of New Jersey.
23	Is there a motion to open this up to
24	the public?
25	MR. AURIEMMA: So moved.

166

1	MS. MUIR: Second.
2	(On roll call, all members voted in
3	the affirmative.)
4	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there anyone here on
5	this matter from the public?
6	Hearing none, is there a motion to
7	close?

8

MS. ALLEN: Moved to close.

9	MR. AURIEMMA: Second.
10	(On roll call, all members voted in
11	the affirmative.)
12	MAYOR SHAMEY: Okay. There is no
13	public comment, is there a motion to close the
14	public hearing and reopen the regular meeting?
15	MS. MUIR: So moved.
16	MS. ALLEN: Second.
τo	
17	MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor, say aye.
18	(On roll call, all members voted in
19	the affirmative.)
20	MAYOR SHAMEY: Is there a motion on
21	the ordiannce?
22	MR. AURIEMMA: Motion.
23	MS. ALLEN: Second.
24	(On roll call, all members voted in
25	the affirmative.)

167 1 MAYOR SHAMEY: That concludes the 2 public hearings. We already covered the new 3 business. I would ask for a motion to 4 dispense -- one second. I will ask for a 5 motion that we dispense with the 6 Administrator's report and all Committee 7 reports. Is there a motion to do that?

8	MR. AURIEMMA: There is a motion to
9	dispense with that.
10	MS. ALLEN: Second.
11	MAYOR SHAMEY: All in favor, say aye.
12	(On roll call, all members voted in
13	the affirmative.)
14	MAYOR SHAMEY: Are there any comments
15	from the public? Please, this is not on the
16	bond ordinance.
17	MRS. SOLOMON: My name is Renee
18	Solomon, and I am Sharon (inaudible)'s mother.
19	I spoke with Mr. Gatti on January 1st when I
20	saw him, and he said the Township Committee
21	would probably reconsider the sale of 65
22	acres. I am here this evening to ask if our
23	attorney may please call Mrs. Dragan?
24	MR. MUIR: Mr. Gatti does not speak
25	for the Committee.

168 1 MAYOR SHAMEY: Why don't you have your 2 attorney send Ms. Dragan a letter, okay. 3 Are there any further comments from 4 the public? Is there a motion to adjourn this 5 meeting?

	https://www.readingtontwp.org/Solberg_public_hearing.html
6	MR. MUIR: So moved.
7	MR. AURIEMMA: Second.
8	(Whereupon, the meeting was
9	adjourned.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE

I, JACQUELINE KLAPP, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New

Jersey, holding License No. 30X100034700 do hereby certify that foregoing is a true and accurate transcript as taken to the best of my ability.

JACQUELINE KLAPP, CSR 30X100034700